arXiv:1702.00224v2 [math.CT] 19 Apr 2017

LIFTABLE PAIRS OF ADJOINT MONOIDAL FUNCTORS AND PRE-RIGIDITY

ALESSANDRO ARDIZZONI, ISAR GOYVAERTS, AND CLAUDIA MENINI

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be monoidal categories and let $(L : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}, R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$ be a pair of adjoint functors. Supposing that R is moreover a lax monoidal functor (or, equivalently, that L is colax monoidal), R induces a functor $\overline{R} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ and L colifts to a functor $\underline{L} : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{A})$, as is well-known. An adjoint pair of such functors (L, R) is called *liftable* if the functor $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint and if the functor $\underline{L}$ has a right adjoint.
A pleasing fact is that, when $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are moreover endowed with a braiding, a liftable pair of functors as above gives rise to an adjunction

$$\mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{A}) \underset{\overline{\underline{L}}}{\overset{\overline{R}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{B}) \ ,$$

provided R is braided with respect to the braidings of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.
In this note, sufficient conditions on the category $\mathcal{A}$ for $\overline{R}$ to possess a left adjoint, are given. Furthermore, it is shown that a so-called *pre-rigid* braided monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ always allows for a liftable pair of adjoint functors $((-)^* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, (-)^* : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C})$ provided $\overline{(-)^*}$ has an adjoint. We apply our results taking $\mathcal{C}$ to be the category of vector spaces graded by an abelian group G. The lifted adjunction mentioned above is explicitly described and provides a G-graded version of Sweedler's classical finite dual construction.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries and first results 3
Some notational conventions 3
2. An approach to a result by Tambara, inspired by Dubuc 6
3. Pre-rigid braided monoidal categories and liftable functors 10
4. Application: a G-graded version of Sweedler's finite dual 14
References 25

Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be monoidal categories and let $(L : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}, R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$ be a pair of adjoint functors. It is well-known that, if L can be endowed with the structure of a colax monoidal functor, then R becomes a lax monoidal functor, and the other way around. Letting $(R, \phi_2, \phi_0) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ now be a lax monoidal functor, R induces a functor $\overline{R} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ between the respective categories of algebra objects. Dually, a colax monoidal functor $(L, \psi_2, \psi_0) : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ colifts to a functor $\underline{L} : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{A})$ between the respective categories of coalgebra objects.

Key words and phrases. Hopf algebras, braided monoidal categories.

This article was written while the first and the third authors were members of the National Group for Algebraic and Geometric Structures, and their Applications (GNSAGA-INdAM). The first author was partially supported by the research grant "Progetti di Eccellenza 2011/2012" from the "Fondazione Cassa di Risparmio di Padova e Rovigo". He thanks the members of the department of Mathematics of both Vrije Universiteit Brussel and Université Libre de Bruxelles for their warm hospitality and support during his stay in Brussels in August 2013, when the work on this paper was initiated. The second named author acknowledges the financial support of an INdAM Marie Curie Fellowship.
The authors would also like to thank Joost Vercruysse and Miodrag C. Iovanov for helpful discussions.

In the article [GV], an adjoint pair of functors (L, R) between monoidal categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ such that R is a lax monoidal functor (or, equivalently, L is colax monoidal) is called *liftable* if the functor $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint and if the functor $\underline{L}$ has a right adjoint. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ come both endowed with a braiding, it is shown in loc. cit. that such a liftable pair of functors (L, R) gives rise to an adjunction between the respective categories of Hopf algebra objects

$$\mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{A}) \underset{\underline{L}}{\overset{\overline{R}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{B})$$

provided the functor R enjoys the property of being braided with respect to the braidings of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ (cf. [GV, Theorem 2.7]).
A prototypical example of a liftable pair of functors is obtained by taking $\mathcal{B}$ to be the symmetric strict monoidal category of k-vector spaces (k a field) where the symmetry is just the twist. Putting $\mathcal{A}$ to be the dual category of $\mathcal{B}$ and taking the vector space dual $X^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(X, k)$, one obtains a (covariant) adjunction

$$\mathcal{A} \underset{L=(-)^*}{\overset{R=(-)^*}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{B}.$$

The functor R satisfies the necessary conditions to induce a functor $\overline{R} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$. Explicitly, one obtains that $\overline{R}$ is the well-known functor that computes the dual algebra of a k-coalgebra (remark that the functor $\underline{L} : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{A})$ is exactly the same functor). A left adjoint for $\overline{R}$ is given by the functor that assigns the so-called finite dual coalgebra A° to a k-algebra A; this construction is originally due to Sweedler, see [Sw]. Noticing that the very same construction provides a right adjoint for the functor $\underline{L}$, one obtains that the pair (L, R) is indeed liftable and, applying the above-cited theorem, one recovers the result that the finite dual induces an auto-adjunction on the category of k-Hopf algebras (cf. [Ab, page 87], for instance).
Now, Sweedler's finite dual is an important tool in the theory of Hopf algebras over a field. Generalizations of this construction have been studied by different authors, see [AGW, CN, Po2]. In the recent paper [PS], it is shown that the left adjoint to the dual algebra functor, which exists over arbitrary rings, shares a number of properties with Sweedler's finite dual over a field. Nonetheless, the requirement that it should map Hopf algebras to Hopf algebras needs an extra assumption. Indeed, when k is taken to be a commutative ring instead of a field, it is proven that, while the left adjoint of the dual algebra functor on the category of k-bialgebras still always has a right adjoint, this dual adjunction can be restricted to a dual adjunction on the category of k-Hopf algebras provided that k is noetherian and absolutely flat.
The motivation of the present article is to find a setting where the liftability assumption can be proved to hold. In Corollary 3.11, we show that a so-called *pre-rigid* braided monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ always allows for a liftable pair of adjoint functors $((-)^* : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, (-)^* : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C})$ provided $\overline{(-)^*}$ has an adjoint. When considering $\mathcal{C}$ to be the category of vector spaces graded by an abelian group G, the lifted adjunction between Hopf algebras in $\mathcal{C}$ can be explicitly described and provides a G-graded version of Sweedler's classical finite dual construction. Let us sketch in more detail how we address this issue here.

We first notice that for a lax monoidal functor R -possessing a left adjoint L- between monoidal categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, $\overline{R}$ does not need to have a left adjoint, a priori: an example of such a functor $\overline{R}$ is given in Remark 1.3.
In section 2, we present sufficient conditions for $\overline{R}$ to possess a left adjoint, provided some extra conditions on the category $\mathcal{A}$ hold (cf. Corollaries 2.4 and 2.7). This is obtained by slightly improving results by Dubuc ([Du1],[Du2]) and by Tambara [Ta].
However, for almost no monoidal category of interest, the arguments occurring in Corollaries 2.4 and 2.7 are "dualizable". So, in order to find examples of situations where $\underline{L}$ would have a right adjoint, too, we adopt a different strategy in section 3 by restricting our attention to pre-rigid braided monoidal categories. A braided monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is called pre-rigid if for every object

X there exists an object X^* and a morphism $\mathrm{ev}_X : X^* \otimes X \to \mathbf{1}$ such that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, X^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T \otimes X, \mathbf{1}) : u \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (u \otimes X)$$

is bijective for every object T in $\mathcal{C}$. For example, any braided, (right) closed monoidal category is automatically pre-rigid, see Proposition 3.5 (the converse is not true, see Example 3.6). It turns out, see Corollary 3.11, that in this framework, whenever the functor $\overline{(-)^*}$ (here $(-)^* : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C}$) has a left adjoint, then the adjunction $((-)^*, (-)^*)$ is liftable. In this respect, we note that all the categories occurring in the examples from [GV] are actually pre-rigid *symmetric* monoidal categories, but in loc.cit. the liftability condition is shown to hold by rather ad-hoc methods in all of these examples. It is our purpose here to treat the case of generic pre-rigid braided monoidal categories in a more systematic way; Corollaries 3.12 and 3.13 summarize this treatment. More explicitely, in Corollary 3.12 we present conditions guaranteeing that $\overline{(-)^*}$ has a left adjoint, while in Corollary 3.13 we find a result in the spirit of [PS, Proposition 8] in the setting of pre-rigid monoidal categories.
Finally, in section 4 we present concrete instances in which the earlier-developed material can be applied. For instance, when $\mathcal{C}$ is taken to be the category of vector spaces graded by an abelian group G, our theory gives rise to auto-adjunctions on the categories of Hopf algebras "colored" by G. As a consequence of computations carried out in a slightly more general setting in 4.12, the lifted functors in this example can be described explicitly, see Example 4.14. The paper concludes with hinting at why one could expect that explicit descriptions as in Example 4.14 could be carried out, more generally, for the category of comodules over a coquasi-bialgebra.
We begin our exposition with a section which recalls some known notions and results (apart from 1.3, which seems to be new).

1. Preliminaries and first results

Some notational conventions. When X is an object in a category $\mathcal{C}$, we will denote the identity morphism on X by 1_X or X for short. For categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$, a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ will be the name for a covariant functor; it will only be a contravariant one if it is explicitly mentioned. By $\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ we denote the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}$. For any functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$, we denote Id_F (or sometimes -in order to lighten notation in some computations- just F, if the context does not allow for confusion) the natural transformation defined by $\mathsf{Id}_{FX} = 1_{FX}$.
Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category. Denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$ the opposite category of $\mathcal{C}$. Using the notation of [Pa1, page 12], an object X and a morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ will be denoted by X^{op} and $f^{\mathrm{op}} : Y^{\mathrm{op}} \to X^{\mathrm{op}}$ when regarded as object and morphism in $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}$.

Throughout the paper, we will work in the setting of monoidal categories. With respect to the material presented in section 3, it is useful to recall the following notation. Let $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, a, l, r)$ be a monoidal category. Following [SR, 0.1.4, 1.4], we have that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is also monoidal, the monoidal structure being given by

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes Y^{\mathrm{op}} &:= (X \otimes Y)^{\mathrm{op}}, \text{ the unit is } \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{op}} \\ a_{X^{\mathrm{op}},Y^{\mathrm{op}},Z^{\mathrm{op}}} &:= \left(a^{-1}_{X,Y,Z}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \\ l_{X^{\mathrm{op}}} &:= \left(l_X^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}, \qquad r_{X^{\mathrm{op}}} := \left(r_X^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{M}$ is moreover braided (with braiding c), then so is $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}$, the braiding being given by

$$c_{X^{\mathrm{op}},Y^{\mathrm{op}}} := \left(c^{-1}_{X,Y}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

As already mentioned, in this note we will operate within the framework of monoidal categories, which will be assumed to be strict from now on (unless explicitly stated). By Mac Lane's Coherence Theorem, this does not impose restrictions on the obtained results. We will moreover consider braided and (pre)additive monoidal categories. A basic reference for these notions is [McL], for instance.

1.1. Recall (see e.g. [AM, Definition 3.1]) that a functor $F : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ between monoidal categories $(\mathcal{A}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \otimes', \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}})$ is said to be a lax monoidal functor if it comes equipped with a family of natural morphisms $\phi_2(X,Y) : F(X) \otimes' F(Y) \to F(X \otimes Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ and a $\mathcal{B}$-morphism $\phi_0 : \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \to F(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}})$, satisfying the known suitable compatibility conditions with respect to the associativity and unit constraints of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, (F, ϕ_0, ϕ_2) is called strong if ϕ_0 is an isomorphism and $\phi_2(X,Y)$ is a natural isomorphism for any objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Dually, colax monoidal functors are defined (cf. [AM, Definition 3.1]).

Let $(L : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}, R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$ be an adjunction with unit η and counit ϵ. By [AM, Proposition 3.84], if (L, ψ_2, ψ_0) is a colax monoidal functor, then (R, ϕ_2, ϕ_0) is a lax monoidal functor where, for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$,

(1)
$$\phi_2(X,Y) = \left(RX \otimes RY \xrightarrow{\eta_{(RX \otimes RY)}} RL(RX \otimes RY) \xrightarrow{R\psi_2(RX,RY)} R(LRX \otimes LRY) \xrightarrow{R(\epsilon_X \otimes \epsilon_Y)} R(X \otimes Y) \right),$$

(2)
$$\phi_0 = \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}}} RL(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{R\psi_0} R(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) \right).$$

Conversely, if (R, ϕ_2, ϕ_0) is a lax monoidal functor, then (L, ψ_2, ψ_0) is a colax monoidal functor where, for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$

(3)
$$\psi_2(X,Y) := \left(L(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{L(\eta_X \otimes \eta_Y)} L(RLX \otimes RLY) \xrightarrow{L\phi_2(LX,LY)} LR(LX \otimes LY) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{(LX \otimes LY)}} LX \otimes LY \right),$$

(4)
$$\psi_0 = \left(L(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}) \xrightarrow{L\phi_0} LR(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \right).$$

Let $(R, \phi_2, \phi_0) : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a lax monoidal functor. It is well-known that R induces a functor $\overline{R} := \mathsf{Alg}(R) : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ such that the diagram on the right-hand side in (5) commutes (cf. [Ben, Proposition 6.1, page 52]; see also [AM, Proposition 3.29]). Explicitly,

$$\overline{R}(A, m, u) = \left(RA, RA \otimes RA \xrightarrow{\phi_2(A,A)} R(A \otimes A) \xrightarrow{Rm} RA, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{Ru} RA \right)$$

Dually, a colax monoidal functor $(L, \psi_2, \psi_0) : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}$ colifts to a functor $\underline{L} := \mathsf{Coalg}(L) : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{A})$ such that the diagram on the left-hand side in (5) commutes. Explicitly,

$$\underline{L}(C, \Delta, \varepsilon) = \left(LC, LC \xrightarrow{L\Delta} L(C \otimes C) \xrightarrow{\psi_2(C,C)} LC \otimes LC, LC \xrightarrow{L\varepsilon} L\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \right)$$

The vertical arrows in the two diagrams below are the obvious forgetful functors.

(5)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\underline{L}} & \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{A}) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathcal{U}'=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}} \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{L} & \mathcal{A} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{R}} & \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) \\ {\scriptstyle \Omega=\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Omega'=\Omega_{\mathcal{B}}} \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{R} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

Definition 1.2 ([GV, Definition 2.3]). Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be monoidal categories and let $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a lax monoidal functor having a left adjoint L. By the argument above, we can consider the functors $\overline{R}$ and $\underline{L}$. The pair (L, R) is called *liftable* if the functor $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$ and if the functor $\underline{L}$ has a right adjoint $\underline{R}$.

We now proceed by presenting an example of a lax monoidal functor R between monoidal categories that has a left adjoint L, but for which $\overline{R}$ does not have a left adjoint.

Example 1.3. Let k be a field and set $S := \frac{k[X]}{(X^2)}$. Denote by Vec^f the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over k and consider the functor $R^f : \mathsf{Vec}^f \to \mathsf{Vec}^f : V \mapsto S \otimes_k V$.
Note that the functor R^f has a left adjoint L^f, where $L^f(V) = S^* \otimes_k V$. As S is a (finite-dimensional) k-algebra, the functor R^f is lax monoidal so that it induces a functor $\overline{R^f} : \mathsf{Alg}^f \to$

Alg^f, where we used the notation $\mathsf{Alg}^f = \mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathsf{Vec}^f\right)$ for the category of finite-dimensional k-algebras. Our aim is to check that $\overline{R^f}$ has no left adjoint.
To this end, suppose that there is a left adjoint $\overline{L^f}$ of $\overline{R^f}$ and denote by $\overline{\eta^f}$ and $\overline{\epsilon^f}$ the corresponding unit and counit. Consider the functor $R : \mathsf{Vec} \to \mathsf{Vec} : V \mapsto S \otimes_k V$. This functor has a left adjoint L and induces a functor $\overline{R} : \mathsf{Alg} \to \mathsf{Alg}$. By a result of Tambara (cf. [Ta, Remark 1.5]), this functor has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$ with unit and counit $\overline{\eta}$ and $\overline{\epsilon}$. By the very construction in Tambara's Remark (cited above), one actually has

$$\overline{L}(S) = a(S,S) = k\{X,Y\} / \left(X^2, XY + YX\right).$$

Notice that this algebra is not finite-dimensional. Consider the forgetful functor $\overline{\Lambda} : \mathsf{Alg}^f \to \mathsf{Alg}$. Clearly $\overline{\Lambda} \circ \overline{R^f} = \overline{R} \circ \overline{\Lambda}$, Set

$$\zeta := \left(\overline{\epsilon}_{\overline{\Lambda L^f}} \circ \overline{L\Lambda}\,\overline{\eta^f}\right)_S : \overline{L\Lambda}(S) \to \overline{\Lambda L^f}(S).$$

Consider the following data

- $\pi_n : \overline{L}(S) = \frac{k\{X,Y\}}{(X^2, XY+YX)} \longrightarrow \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)} : \overline{X} \mapsto 0; \overline{Y} \mapsto \overline{Y}$.
- $\xi_{n,n+1} : \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^{n+1})} \longrightarrow \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)} : \overline{Y} \mapsto \overline{Y}$ and $\xi_n : k[[Y]] \to \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)} : \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k_i Y^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_i \overline{Y}^i$ so that $\xi_{n,n+1} \circ \xi_{n+1} = \xi_n$.
- $j_n := (S \otimes \pi_n) \circ \overline{\eta}_S = \overline{R}(\pi_n) \circ \overline{\eta}_S : S \to S \otimes \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)}$.

Since the domain and codomain of j_n are in Alg^f, there is $j_n^f \in \mathsf{Alg}^f\left(S, \overline{R^f}\left(\frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)}\right)\right)$ such that $\overline{\Lambda}\left(j_n^f\right) = j_n$.

Also, since $\mathsf{Alg}^f\left(S, \overline{R^f}\left(\frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)}\right)\right) \cong \mathsf{Alg}^f\left(\overline{L^f}(S), \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)}\right)$, there is $\beta_n \in \mathsf{Alg}^f\left(\overline{L^f}(S), \frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)}\right)$ defined by $\beta_n = \overline{\epsilon^f}_{k[Y]/(Y^n)} \circ \overline{L^f}\left(j_n^f\right)$. One can then verify that

$$\overline{\Lambda}\beta_n \circ \zeta = \pi_n.$$

One also computes that

$$\xi_{n,n+1} \circ \beta_{n+1} \quad = \quad \overline{\epsilon^f}_{k[Y]/(Y^n)} \circ \overline{L^f}\left(\overline{R^f}(\xi_{n,n+1}) \circ j_{n+1}^f\right).$$

and

$$\overline{\Lambda}\left(\overline{R^f}(\xi_{n,n+1}) \circ j_{n+1}^f\right) \quad = \quad j_n = \overline{\Lambda}\left(j_n^f\right)$$

so that $\overline{R^f}(\xi_{n,n+1}) \circ j_{n+1}^f = j_n^f$ and hence

$$\xi_{n,n+1} \circ \beta_{n+1} = \overline{\epsilon^f}_{k[Y]/(Y^n)} \circ \overline{L^f}\left(\overline{R^f}(\xi_{n,n+1}) \circ j_{n+1}^f\right) = \overline{\epsilon^f}_{k[Y]/(Y^n)} \circ \overline{L^f}\left(j_n^f\right) = \beta_n.$$

Since $\left(k[[Y]], (\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\right)$ is the inverse limit of $\left(\frac{k[Y]}{(Y^n)}, \xi_{n,n+1}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, there exists $\beta \in \mathsf{Alg}\left(\overline{\Lambda L^f}(S), k[[Y]]\right)$ such that $\xi_n \circ \beta = \overline{\Lambda}(\beta_n)$.

Finally, consider

$$\alpha := \left(k[Y] \overset{\gamma}{\hookrightarrow} \frac{k\{X,Y\}}{(X^2, XY+YX)} = \overline{L\Lambda}(S) \overset{\zeta}{\to} \overline{\Lambda L^f}(S)\right).$$

Let us now check that $\beta \circ \alpha$ is the canonical injection $\tau : k[Y] \hookrightarrow k[[Y]]$. Since we have proved that $\overline{\Lambda}\beta_n \circ \zeta = \pi_n$, we get

$$\xi_n \circ \beta \circ \alpha = \overline{\Lambda}(\beta_n) \circ \alpha = \overline{\Lambda}(\beta_n) \circ \zeta \circ \gamma = \pi_n \circ \gamma = \xi_n \circ \tau.$$

Thus $\xi_n \circ \beta \circ \alpha = \xi_n \circ \tau$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\beta \circ \alpha = \tau$. So α is injective and we obtain that $\overline{\Lambda L^f}(S)$ is not finite-dimensional. This is a contradiction.

1.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ now be *braided* monoidal categories and let $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a braided lax monoidal functor having a left adjoint L. By [AM, Proposition 3.80], the functor $\overline{R}$ is lax monoidal too. Explicitly, the lax monoidal functors (R, ϕ_2, ϕ_0) and $(\overline{R}, \overline{\phi}_2, \overline{\phi}_0)$ are connected by the following equalities, for every $\overline{A} = (A, m_A, u_A), \overline{B} = (B, m_B, u_B) \in \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})$

$$(\Omega_{\mathcal{B}})\,\overline{R} = R(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}), \qquad (\Omega_{\mathcal{B}})\,\overline{\phi}_2\left(\overline{A}, \overline{B}\right) = \phi_2(A,B), \qquad (\Omega_{\mathcal{B}})\,\overline{\phi}_0 = \phi_0. \tag{6}$$

In the above situation -see [AM, Proposition 3.85] e.g.- L is a braided colax monoidal functor and one shows in a similar fashion that $\underline{L}$ is colax monoidal. The colax monoidal functors (L, ψ_2, ψ_0) and $(\underline{L}, \underline{\psi}_2, \underline{\psi}_0)$ are connected by the following equalities for every $\underline{C} = (C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C), \underline{D} = (D, \Delta_D, \varepsilon_D) \in \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B})$

$$(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}})\,\underline{L} = L\,(\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{B}}), \qquad (\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}})\,\underline{\psi}_2\,(\underline{C}, \underline{D}) = \psi_2\,(C, D), \qquad (\mathfrak{V}_{\mathcal{A}})\,\underline{\psi}_0 = \psi_0. \tag{7}$$

As Example 1.3 shows, a pair (L, R), where $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a (braided) lax monoidal functor between (braided) monoidal categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, having a left adjoint L, needs not to be liftable, *a priori*. But, in case $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are braided monoidal categories and $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a braided lax monoidal functor having a left adjoint L such that the pair (L, R) *is* liftable, then, by [GV, Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.7], there is an adjunction $\left(\overline{\underline{L}}, \overline{\underline{R}}\right)$ that fits into the following commutative diagrams (and explains the choice of the -perhaps somewhat fuzzy- term 'liftable')

(8)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\underline{L}}} & \mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{A}) \\ {\scriptstyle \overline{\mathfrak{V}'}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\mathfrak{V}'}} \\ \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{L}} & \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\underline{R}}} & \mathsf{Hopfalg}(\mathcal{B}) \\ {\scriptstyle \underline{\Omega}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\Omega}'} \\ \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\underline{R}} & \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{B}) \end{array}$$

In this diagram, all vertical arrows are forgetful functors. Note that one has, more explicitly, that $\overline{\underline{L}} = \overline{(\underline{L})}$ and $\overline{\underline{R}} = \overline{(\underline{R})}$.

Remark 1.5. Before getting started with the sufficient condition for $\overline{L}$ to exist, as aimed at in the Introduction to this paper, it seems opportune to mention some related work, recently carried by Porst and Street in [PS]. In particular, the material from section 4 bears quite some resemblance to their theory.
In Section 2 of loc. cit., the authors assume $\overline{R}$ to admit a left adjoint $\overline{L}$ and are concerned with investigating which of the properties of Sweedler's finite dual functor $(-)^\circ$ might be shared by $\overline{L}$. We note that they also use a notion of "liftability" (Definition 14 in loc. cit.) which does not coincide with the notion of a liftable pair of functors as in Definition 1.2 here above.
It is also instructive to remark that, in Section 2.3.2 of [PS], the authors study symmetric monoidal functors, obtaining the following result (item 1 of Proposition 33 in their article). Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be symmetric monoidal closed categories and $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a symmetric lax monoidal functor having a left adjoint L such that $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint. Assuming that $\mathcal{B}$ is locally presentable, $\overline{\underline{L}} : \mathsf{Bialg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathsf{Bialg}(\mathcal{A})$ has a right adjoint.
Finally, we point out that, in the above-mentioned result, Bialg can be replaced by Hopfalg under extra assumptions made in the second part of their Proposition 33, whereas for a "liftable" pair of functors -in the sense of Definition 1.2 of the present paper- this can always be done without any further extra assumption.

2. An approach to a result by Tambara, inspired by Dubuc

In this section, we provide sufficient conditions (Corollaries 2.4 and 2.7) for $\overline{R}$ to have a left adjoint. Under relatively mild assumptions (cf. Remark 2.6), this is obtained by considering a result by Dubuc ([Du1] and [Du2]) and by using it to provide a result in the spirit of Tambara's, see [Ta].

Let us compare the following two diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}} & \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{R}} & & TL \uparrow\downarrow R\Omega \\ \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow[\Omega']{} & \mathcal{B} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle K} & & L \uparrow\downarrow R \\ {}_Q\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow[U]{} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

- **The diagram on the left-hand side**. Here $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are monoidal categories and it is assumed that the functor $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{A}$ has a left adjoint T. Also, $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is supposed to be a lax monoidal functor having a left adjoint.
  If we are moreover given that $\mathcal{A}$ has colimits and the tensor product commutes with them, [Ta, Remark 1.5] states that $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint, too. However, we note that Tambara does not provide any indication of proof for this statement.
- **The diagram on the right-hand side**. Here we are in the setting of Theorem [Du1, Theorem 1] (see also [Du2, Theorem A.1]) where, in case the category $\mathcal{A}$ has coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms, the functor K has a left adjoint.

Thus, although $\Omega' : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathcal{B}$ (as above) is neither right adjoint nor monadic (unless $\mathcal{B}$ has denumerable coproducts and they are preserved by the tensor products, cf. [AMe, Theorem A.6]), it is still possible to produce a left adjoint for $\overline{R}$ like in the diagram on the right-hand side, where U is both a right adjoint and monadic. Moreover, on the right-hand side, just the existence of coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms is required; we do not necessarily impose the existence of colimits here.
Inspired by Dubuc's work, we now present a result in the spirit of Tambara's. Note that there is no requirement on Ω here (no monadicity, neither left adjoint). We do, however, require that $\mathcal{A}$ has all coequalizers (and not just those of reflexive pair of morphisms).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Consider the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A} \\ {\scriptstyle\Lambda}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle K} & & {\scriptstyle L}\uparrow\downarrow{\scriptstyle R} \\ \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow[\Omega]{} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is a monoidal category, $\Omega K = R$ and (L, R) is an adjunction with unit η and counit ϵ. If the category $\mathcal{A}$ has coequalizers, then the functor K has a left adjoint Λ. Moreover, given an algebra $\overline{B} := (B, m_B, u_B)$ in $\mathcal{B}$ we can write KLB in the form (RLB, m_{RLB}, u_{RLB}) and, if we set $\kappa = \epsilon\Lambda \circ L\Omega\overline{\eta}$, we have that $\left(\Lambda\overline{B}, \kappa_{\overline{B}} : LB \to \Lambda\overline{B}\right)$ is a colimit for

$$L(B \otimes B) \underset{\epsilon_{LB} \circ Lm_{RLB} \circ L(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B)}{\overset{Lm_B}{\rightrightarrows}} LB \underset{\epsilon_{LB} \circ Lu_{RLB}}{\overset{Lu_B}{\leftleftarrows}} L\mathbf{1} \,. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Let $\overline{B} := (B, m_B, u_B)$ be an algebra in $\mathcal{B}$. From $\Omega K = R$, we can write KLB in the form (RLB, m_{RLB}, u_{RLB}). By hypothesis, the diagram (9) has a colimit $\left(\Lambda\overline{B}, \pi_{\overline{B}} : LB \to \Lambda\overline{B}\right)$ (it is obtained by taking the coequalizer $\left(\Lambda'\overline{B}, \pi'_{\overline{B}} : LB \to \Lambda'\overline{B}\right)$ of the left-hand side pair and then computing the coequalizer of the pair $\left(\pi'_{\overline{B}} \circ Lu_B, \pi'_{\overline{B}} \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ Lu_{RLB}\right)$). Let $f : \overline{B} \to \overline{E}$ be a morphism in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L\Omega f \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ Lm_{RLB} \circ L(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B) &= \epsilon_{LE} \circ LRL\Omega f \circ Lm_{RLB} \circ L(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B) \\ &= \epsilon_{LE} \circ L[\Omega KL\Omega f \circ m_{RLB} \circ (\eta_B \otimes \eta_B)] \\ &= \epsilon_{LE} \circ L[m_{RLE} \circ (RL\Omega f \otimes RL\Omega f) \circ (\eta_B \otimes \eta_B)] \\ &= \epsilon_{LE} \circ Lm_{RLE} \circ L(\eta_E \otimes \eta_E) \circ L(\Omega f \otimes \Omega f), \\ L\Omega f \circ Lm_B &= L(\Omega f \circ m_B) = L(m_E \circ (\Omega f \otimes \Omega f)) = Lm_E \circ L(\Omega f \otimes \Omega f), \\ L\Omega f \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ Lu_{RLB} &= \epsilon_{LE} \circ LRL\Omega f \circ Lu_{RLB} = \epsilon_{LE} \circ L(\Omega KL\Omega f \circ u_{RLB}) = \epsilon_{LE} \circ Lu_{RLE}, \\ L\Omega f \circ Lu_B &= L(\Omega f \circ u_B) = Lu_E \end{aligned}$$

so that the following diagram serially commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} L(B\otimes B) & \underset{\epsilon_{LB}\circ Lm_{RLB}\circ L(\eta_B\otimes\eta_B)}{\overset{Lm_B}{\rightrightarrows}} & LB & \underset{\epsilon_{LB}\circ Lu_{RLB}}{\overset{Lu_B}{\leftleftarrows}} & L\mathbf{1} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle L(\Omega f\otimes\Omega f)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle L(\Omega f)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{Id}_{L\mathbf{1}}} \\ L(E\otimes E) & \underset{\epsilon_{LE}\circ Lm_{RLE}\circ L(\eta_E\otimes\eta_E)}{\overset{Lm_E}{\rightrightarrows}} & LE & \underset{\epsilon_{LE}\circ Lu_{RLE}}{\overset{Lu_E}{\leftleftarrows}} & L\mathbf{1} \end{array}.$$

As a consequence, there is a unique morphism $\Lambda f : \Lambda\overline{B} \to \Lambda\overline{E}$ such that

$$\Lambda f \circ \pi_{\overline{B}} = \pi_{\overline{E}} \circ L\Omega f. \tag{10}$$

Since $\pi_{\overline{B}}$ is an epimorphism, one easily checks that $\Lambda(f\circ f') = \Lambda f \circ \Lambda f'$ for all morphisms f, f' in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ that can be composed. We thus get a functor $\Lambda : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathcal{A}$. Let us check that (Λ, K) is an adjunction. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, consider the diagram (9) for $\overline{B} = KA$ (hence $B = RA$) i.e.

$$L(RA\otimes RA) \underset{\epsilon_{LRA}\circ Lm_{RLRA}\circ L(\eta_{RA}\otimes\eta_{RA})}{\overset{Lm_{RA}}{\rightrightarrows}} LRA \underset{\epsilon_{LRA}\circ Lu_{RLRA}}{\overset{Lu_{RA}}{\leftleftarrows}} L\mathbf{1}\ .$$

Then it is easily verified that $\epsilon_A \circ (\epsilon_{LRA}\circ Lm_{RLRA}\circ L(\eta_{RA}\otimes\eta_{RA})) = \epsilon_A \circ Lm_{RA}$ and that $\epsilon_A \circ (\epsilon_{LRA}\circ Lu_{RLB}) = \epsilon_A \circ Lu_{RA}$, so there exists a unique morphism $\overline{\epsilon}_A : \Lambda KA \to A$ such that

$$\overline{\epsilon}_A \circ \pi_{KA} = \epsilon_A.$$

If $h : A \to A'$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\overline{\epsilon}_{A'} \circ \Lambda Kh \circ \pi_{KA} \overset{(10)}{=} \overline{\epsilon}_{A'} \circ \pi_{KA'} \circ L\Omega Kh = \epsilon_{A'} \circ LRh = h \circ \epsilon_A = h \circ \overline{\epsilon}_A \circ \pi_{KA}$$

and hence $\overline{\epsilon}_{A'} \circ \Lambda Kh = h \circ \overline{\epsilon}_A$ which means that $\overline{\epsilon}_-$ is natural in the lower argument. We have

$$\begin{aligned} R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \eta_B \circ m_B &= R\left(\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ Lm_B\right) \circ \eta_{(B\otimes B)} = R\left[\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ Lm_{RLB} \circ L\left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right)\right] \circ \eta_{(B\otimes B)} \\ &= R\left[\epsilon_\Lambda \overline{B} \circ LR\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ Lm_{RLB} \circ L\left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right)\right] \circ \eta_{(B\otimes B)} \\ &= R\epsilon_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ \eta_{R\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ m_{RLB} \circ \left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right) \\ &= R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ m_{RLB} \circ \left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right) \\ &= m_{R\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ \left(R\pi_{\overline{B}} \otimes R\pi_{\overline{B}}\right) \circ \left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right), \\ R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \eta_B \circ u_B &= R\left(\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ RLu_B\right) \circ \eta_{\mathbf{1}} = R\left(\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ Lu_{RLB}\right) \circ \eta_{\mathbf{1}} \\ &= R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ R\epsilon_{LB} \circ \eta_{RLB} \circ u_{RLB} = \Omega K\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ u_{RLB} = u_{R\Lambda\overline{B}} \end{aligned}$$

so that $R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \eta_B$ induces an algebra map $\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} : \overline{B} \to K\Lambda\overline{B}$ such that

$$\Omega\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \eta_B.$$

Given a morphism $f : \overline{B} \to \overline{E}$ in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega\left(\overline{\eta}_{\overline{E}} \circ f\right) &= R\pi_{\overline{E}} \circ \eta_E \circ \Omega f = R\left(\pi_{\overline{E}} \circ L\Omega f\right) \circ \eta_B \\ &\overset{(10)}{=} R\left(\Lambda f \circ \pi_{\overline{B}}\right) \circ \eta_B = R\Lambda f \circ \Omega\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = \Omega\left(K\Lambda f \circ \overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\overline{\eta}_{\overline{E}} \circ f = K\Lambda f \circ \overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}}$ which means that $\overline{\eta}_-$ is natural in the lower argument. We have that

$$\overline{\epsilon}_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ \Lambda\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} \circ \pi_{\overline{B}} \overset{(10)}{=} \overline{\epsilon}_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ \pi_{K\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ L\Omega\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = \epsilon_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ L\left(R\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \eta_B\right) = \pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ L\eta_B = \pi_{\overline{B}},$$

so that $\overline{\epsilon}_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ \Lambda\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = \mathsf{Id}_{\Lambda\overline{B}}$. Moreover,

$$\Omega\left(K\overline{\epsilon}_A \circ \overline{\eta}_{KA}\right) = R\overline{\epsilon}_A \circ \Omega\overline{\eta}_{KA} = R\overline{\epsilon}_A \circ R\pi_{KA} \circ \eta_{RA} = R\epsilon_A \circ \eta_{RA} = \mathsf{Id}_{RA} = \Omega\mathsf{Id}_{KA}.$$

Since Ω is faithful, we get that $K\overline{\epsilon}_A \circ \overline{\eta}_{KA} = \mathsf{Id}_{KA}$. We compute

$$\kappa_{\overline{B}} = \epsilon_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ L\Omega\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = \epsilon_{\Lambda\overline{B}} \circ LR\pi_{\overline{B}} \circ L\eta_B = \pi_{\overline{B}} \circ \epsilon_{LB} \circ L\eta_B = \pi_{\overline{B}}.$$

Thus $\left(\Lambda\overline{B}, \kappa_{\overline{B}} : LB \to \Lambda\overline{B}\right)$ is a colimit for (9). □

REMARK 2.2. We already observed that, if $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a lax monoidal functor having a left adjoint and if $\mathcal{A}$ has colimits and the tensor product commutes with them, then $\overline{R}$ is a right adjoint too. It can be shown that the pair

$$L(B \otimes B) \underset{\epsilon_{LB} \circ Lm_{RLB} \circ L(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B)}{\overset{Lm_B}{\rightrightarrows}} LB$$

is reflexive if we assume that $\eta_{\mathbf{1}} : \mathbf{1} \to RL\mathbf{1}$ is multiplicative.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let S be a set whose cardinality is at least 2 and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a category with coproducts of all families of objects indexed by S. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has coequalizers if and only if it has coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms. Let F be an endofunctor of $\mathcal{A}$ which preserves coproducts of all families of objects indexed by S. The F preserves coequalizers if and only if it preserves coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ has coequalizers of reflexive pair of morphisms. Let $f, g : X \to Y$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. Fix $s \in S$. For $i \in S$, define the family (X_i, f_i, g_i) by putting $X_s := X, f_s := f, g_s = g$ for $i = s$ and $X_i = Y, f_i := 1_Y = g_i$ for every $i \neq s$. The codiagonal morphisms $\nabla (f_i)_{i \in S}, \nabla (g_i)_{i \in S} : \coprod_{i \in S} X_i \to Y$ give a reflexive pair $\left(\nabla (f_i)_{i \in S}, \nabla (g_i)_{i \in S}\right)$. In fact, since S has cardinality at least 2, there exists $w \in S$ with $w \neq s$ so that $\nabla (f_i)_{i \in S} \circ i_w = 1_Y = \nabla (g_i)_{i \in S} \circ i_w$. Consider the coequalizer $(C, \pi : Y \to C)$ of this pair. Here $i_w : X_\omega \to \coprod_{i \in S} X_i$ denotes the canonical injection. Given a morphism $\zeta : Y \to Z$, we have that $\zeta \circ \nabla (f_i)_{i \in S} = \zeta \circ \nabla (g_i)_{i \in S}$ if and only if $\zeta \circ f = \zeta \circ g$. Thus $(C, \pi : Y \to C)$ is also a coequalizer for the pair (f, g).

Assume that F preserves coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms. Then, by applying F to the coequalizer

$$\coprod_{i \in S} X_i \underset{\nabla (g_i)_{i \in S}}{\overset{\nabla (f_i)_{i \in S}}{\rightrightarrows}} Y \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } C$$

and since F preserves the coproduct involved, we get the coequalizer

$$\coprod_{i \in S} FX_i \underset{\nabla (Fg_i)_{i \in S}}{\overset{\nabla (Ff_i)_{i \in S}}{\rightrightarrows}} FY \xrightarrow{\ F\pi\ } FC\ .$$

Now, given a morphism $\xi : FY \to W$ we have that $\xi \circ \nabla (Ff_i)_{i \in S} = \xi \circ \nabla (Fg_i)_{i \in S}$ if and only if $\xi \circ Ff = \xi \circ Fg$ so that $(FC, F\pi)$ is also a coequalizer for the pair (Ff, Fg) and hence F preserves the coequalizer of the pair (f, g).
The other implications are obvious. □

We obtain the following corollary, which provides a sufficient condition for $\overline{R}$ to have a left adjoint.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be monoidal categories and let $R : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ be a lax monoidal functor with a left adjoint L. Assume that the functor $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{A}$ has a left adjoint T. Assume also that $\mathcal{A}$ has binary coproducts, coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms and that both of them are preserved by the tensor products. Then $\overline{R} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}} & \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{R}} & & TL \uparrow \quad \downarrow R\Omega \\ \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow[\Omega']{} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

where $\Omega' \overline{R} = R\Omega$. The conclusion follows by Proposition 2.1, once proved that $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})$ has coequalizers of all pairs. Since $\mathcal{A}$ has binary coproducts, coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms and that both of them are preserved by the tensor products, by Lemma 2.3, we have that $\mathcal{A}$ has all

coequalizers and they are preserved by the tensor products. Thus, $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})$ has coequalizers too (see e.g. [AEM, Proposition 2.1.5.]). □

Remark 2.5. Consider the functor $R^f : \mathsf{Vec}^f \to \mathsf{Vec}^f$ as in Example 1.3. Note that its domain Vec^f has binary coproducts, coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms and that both of them are preserved by the tensor product. Since, by the foregoing, the functor $\overline{R^f}$ has no left adjoint, in view of Corollary 2.4 (applied to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathsf{Vec}^f$) we deduce that the forgetful functor $\Omega^f : \mathsf{Alg}^f \to \mathsf{Vec}^f$ has no left adjoint.

Remark 2.6. The condition of Corollary 2.4 requiring that the functor $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{A}$ has a left adjoint T is satisfied in case $\mathcal{A}$ has coequalizers and denumerable coproducts such that the tensor products preserve both these notions; see [McL, Theorem 2, page 172]. Nevertheless, there are situations in which T exists even when denumerable coproducts are note preserved, e.g. when $\mathcal{A}$ is taken to be the opposite of the category of vector spaces (here, T acts as the cofree coalgebra of [Sw, page 125]).
Another interesting situation occurs when $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of algebras over a field (in this case, $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})$ becomes the category of commutative algebras and T acts as the abelianization). Now, the category $\mathcal{A}$ has coequalizers and can be shown to possess coproducts (cf. [Pa2, Lemma 2.6.1]); it thus has all colimits. It is not clear to the authors whether denumerable coproducts are preserved by the tensor product or not.

We now recover [Ta, Remark 1.5] in the following slightly more general form.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be monoidal categories and let $R : \mathcal{A} \to B$ be a lax monoidal functor with a left adjoint L. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ has denumerable coproducts, coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms and that both of them are preserved by the tensor products. Then $\overline{R} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$.*

Proof. By [McL, Theorem 2, page 172], the functor $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{A}$ has a left adjoint T. The rest of the proof is similar to the one of Corollary 2.4. □

Remark 2.8. In the setting of the Corollary 2.7 we observe that, in case a "dual argument" were applicable, $\underline{L}$ would have a right adjoint. However, we equally notice that it is not natural to ask that the tensor product preserves denumerable products, as in almost any monoidal category of interest this property fails to hold (this phenomenon is already occurring in the case of vector spaces, as the example below shows).
Indeed, letting $\mathcal{A}$ be the monoidal category of k-vector spaces, take e.g. $V = k[X]$ and consider $f : k^{\mathbb{N}} \otimes V \to V^{\mathbb{N}} : (s_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \otimes v \to (s_i v)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. Note that the entries of an element in $\mathrm{Im}(f)$ span a finite-dimensional subspace of V. Then $(X^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \notin \mathrm{Im}(f)$.

In spite of Remark 2.8, we still can recover a meaningful class of examples of pairs of adjoint functors (L, R) for which $\underline{L}$ has a right adjoint, too, without asking that the tensor products preserve limits; see Proposition 3.10 below.

3. Pre-rigid braided monoidal categories and liftable functors

In order to discuss the examples of liftable functors of our concern, it is useful to recall the following notion.

Definition 3.1. Following [GV, 4.1.3], a braided monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *pre-rigid* if for every object X there exists an object X^* and a morphism $\mathrm{ev}_X : X^* \otimes X \to \mathbf{1}$ such that the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, X^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T \otimes X, \mathbf{1}) : u \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (u \otimes X)$$

is bijective for every object T in $\mathcal{C}$.

As a matter of fact, all examples discussed in [GV] are examples of pre-rigid symmetric monoidal categories: the strict monoidal category of vector spaces with the twist as symmetry, the strict monoidal category of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector spaces endowed with the "super" symmetry and the strict monoidal category $\mathsf{Maf}(\mathsf{Vec})$ as constructed in [GV, Section 4] in order to discuss Turaev's Hopf

group-coalgebras. In this section, we propose to study liftability of adjoint pairs of functors in the light of general pre-rigid braided monoidal categories. First an easy lemma, for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category, let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a contravariant functor and let $i, j : \mathsf{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to FF$ be natural transformations such that $Fi \circ jF = \mathsf{Id}_F$ and $Fj \circ iF = \mathsf{Id}_F$. Then F defines a (covariant) adjunction $(L : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, R : \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{C})$ with unit η and counit ϵ given by*

$$LX = (FX)^{\mathrm{op}}, \qquad R(Y^{\mathrm{op}}) = FY, \qquad \eta_X = i_X, \qquad \epsilon_{Y^{\mathrm{op}}} = (j_Y)^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. *When $\mathcal{C}$ is a pre-rigid braided monoidal category, the conditions of Lemma 3.2 are satisfied.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a pre-rigid braided monoidal category. For every morphism $t : T \otimes X \to \mathbf{1}$ there is a unique morphism $\widehat{t} : T \to X^*$ such that $t = \mathrm{ev}_X \circ \left(\widehat{t} \otimes X\right)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} i_X &:= \widehat{(\mathrm{ev}_X \circ c_{X,X^*})} : X \to X^{**}, \\ j_X &:= \widehat{(\mathrm{ev}_X \circ (c_{X^*,X})^{-1})} : X \to X^{**}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ev}_X \circ c_{X,X^*} &= \mathrm{ev}_{X^*} \circ (i_X \otimes X^*), \\ \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (c_{X^*,X})^{-1} &= \mathrm{ev}_{X^*} \circ (j_X \otimes X^*). \end{aligned}$$

For every morphism $f : X \to Y$ define $f^* := \widehat{(\mathrm{ev}_Y \circ (Y^* \otimes f))} : Y^* \to X^*$ so that

$$\mathrm{ev}_X \circ (f^* \otimes X) = \mathrm{ev}_Y \circ (Y^* \otimes f). \tag{11}$$

This defines a contravariant functor $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ defined by $F := (-)^*$. Using (11), one computes that

$$\mathrm{ev}_X \circ ((Fi_X \circ j_{FX}) \otimes X) = \mathrm{ev}_X = \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (X^* \otimes X).$$

This implies $Fi_X \circ j_{FX} = 1_{FX}$, as desired. Similarly, it can be checked that $Fj_X \circ i_{FX} = 1_{FX}$. We are thus in the setting to apply Lemma 3.2.

□

REMARK 3.4. [GV, Proposition 4.2] asserts that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a pre-rigid braided monoidal category, then $* : \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a self-adjoint (covariant) functor. Although the assertion is true for general pre-rigid braided monoidal categories, the proof is erroneously communicated in loc. cit.. Indeed, the last sentence of the argument appearing in the printed version of the above-cited proposition only works in case the braiding is moreover symmetric (notice this does not harm the conclusions of the work carried out in loc.cit., as all involved braidings there *are* symmetric). The above Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 provide a correction to this inaccuracy.

The following proposition provides us with a large class of examples of pre-rigid braided monoidal categories.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a braided and (right) closed monoidal category. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is pre-rigid.*

Proof. As $\mathcal{C}$ is assumed to be right closed, this means that for every object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ the functor $(-) \otimes X : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint $[X, -] : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$. Set $X^* := [X, \mathbf{1}] \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider the counit of the adjunction $\epsilon : [X, -] \otimes X \to \mathrm{Id}$ and set $\mathrm{ev}_X := \epsilon_{\mathbf{1}}$. Clearly we have the following bijection.

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T, X^*) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(T \otimes X, \mathbf{1}) : u \mapsto \mathrm{ev}_X \circ (u \otimes X).$$

This shows that $\mathcal{C}$ is pre-rigid. □

There are examples of braided (even symmetric) monoidal categories which are not closed (the symmetric monoidal category Top as described in [Ke, page 15], for instance). We now present an example of a pre-rigid braided monoidal category which is not right closed.

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let k be a field and consider the category ${}^{k\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{M}$ of $\mathbb{N}$-graded k-vector spaces. An object there is thus a vector space $V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$. Morphisms are degree-preserving k-linear maps. The tensor product is given by (in the entire example, unadorned tensor products are to be taken over k)

$$V \otimes W = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (V \otimes W)_n \qquad \text{where} \qquad (V \otimes W)_n = \oplus_{i=0}^{n} V_i \otimes W_{n-i}.$$

Associativity and unit constraints are the trivial ones.
Now consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ of ${}^{k\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{M}$ whose objects are of the form $V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$ for which $\dim_k(V_n)$ is finite for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Clearly $V, W \in \mathcal{A}$ implies $V \otimes W \in \mathcal{A}$ and $k = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{n,0} k \in \mathcal{A}$. This means that $\mathcal{A}$ is a monoidal subcategory of ${}^{k\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{M}$. Note that ${}^{\mathbb{k}\mathbb{N}}\mathfrak{M}$ is braided via $c : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V : v \otimes w \mapsto w \otimes v$. Clearly c becomes a braiding also on $\mathcal{A}$. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric monoidal category.
Let us check that $\mathcal{A}$ is pre-rigid. Let $V \in \mathcal{A}$. Define $V^* := \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (V^*)_n$ where

$$(V^*)_n := \delta_{n,0} \mathrm{Hom}_k (V_0, k).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (T \otimes V, k) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (T \otimes V)_n , \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{n,0} k) \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_k ((T \otimes V)_0 , k) \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_k (T_0 \otimes V_0, k) \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_k (T_0, \mathrm{Hom}_k (V_0, k)) \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} T_n, \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{n,0} \mathrm{Hom}_k (V_0, k)) \\
&= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (T, V^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathrm{ev}_V = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (\mathrm{ev}_V)_n : V^* \otimes V \to k$ where $(\mathrm{ev}_V)_n = 0$ for $n \neq 0$ and $(\mathrm{ev}_V)_0 : \mathrm{Hom}_k (V_0, k) \otimes V_0 \to k$ is the usual evaluation. In fact, going from $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (T, V^*)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (T \otimes V, k)$ in the above list of equations means the following.
Whenever $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (T, V^*)$, f can be written as $f = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} f_n$, which means that $f = \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{n,0} f_0$. Thus f gets sent to $\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{n,0} (\mathrm{ev}_V)_0 \circ (f_0 \otimes V_0)$, which equals $\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} [(\mathrm{ev}_V)_n \circ (f \otimes V)_n] = \mathrm{ev}_V \circ (f \otimes V)$. This means $\mathcal{A}$ is pre-rigid.
Let us check that $\mathcal{A}$ is not right closed. To this end, suppose that for every $U, V, W \in \mathcal{A}$ there is an object $[V, W] \in \mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (U \otimes V, W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (U, [V, W]).$$

In particular, this should hold for $U = k, V = W = k[X]$ so that on the one hand

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (k[X], k[X]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (k, [k[X], k[X]]) \cong [k[X], k[X]]_0 .$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (k[X], k[X]) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}} (\oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} kX^n, \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} kX^n) \\
&= \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Hom}_k (kX^n, kX^n) \cong \oplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} k \cong k[X]
\end{aligned}$$

Now, $[k[X], k[X]]_0$ is finite-dimensional while $k[X]$ is not. Thus $\mathcal{A}$ is not right closed.

The following lemma collects conditions on the contravariant functor F from Lemma 3.2 to have that L and R are lax and colax respectively.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category, let $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ be a contravariant functor and let $i, j : \mathsf{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} \to FF$ be natural transformations such that $Fi \circ jF = \mathsf{Id}_F$ and $Fj \circ iF = \mathsf{Id}_F$.*
Assume now moreover that $\mathcal{C}$ is monoidal (with tensor product $\otimes$ and unit object $\mathbf{1}$) and that there are natural transformations $\varphi_2 (X, Y) : FX \otimes FY \to F(X \otimes Y)$ and $\varphi_0 : \mathbf{1} \to F(\mathbf{1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_2 (U \otimes V, W) \circ [\varphi_2 (U, V) \otimes F(W)] &= \varphi_2 (U, V \otimes W) \circ [FU \otimes \varphi_2 (V, W)], \\
\varphi_2 (\mathbf{1}, U) \circ [\varphi_0 \otimes FU] &= FU, \\
\varphi_2 (U, \mathbf{1}) \circ [FU \otimes \varphi_0] &= FU, \\
F(i_X \otimes i_Y) \circ F\varphi_2 (FX, FY) \circ j_{FX\otimes FY} &= \varphi_2 (X, Y), \\
F(\varphi_0) \circ j_{\mathbf{1}} &= \varphi_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same notations from Lemma 3.2, (R,ϕ_2,ϕ_0) is lax monoidal and (L,ψ_2,ψ_0) is colax monoidal where

$$\phi_2\left(X^{op},Y^{op}\right):=\varphi_2\left(X,Y\right),\qquad \phi_0:=\varphi_0,\qquad \psi_2\left(X,Y\right):=\varphi_2\left(X,Y\right)^{op},\qquad \psi_0:=\left[\varphi_0\right]^{op}.$$

The following result is an extension of [GV, Proposition 4.2].

PROPOSITION 3.8. *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a pre-rigid braided monoidal category, then the assumptions of Lemma 3.7 are satisfied.*

Proof. As seen in Proposition 3.3, there is a contravariant functor F and there exist natural transformations i and j such that the assumptions of Lemma 3.2 are fulfilled.

Define $\varphi_2\left(X,Y\right):=\widehat{\left(\left(\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y\right)\circ\left(X^*\otimes\left(c_{X,Y^*}\right)^{-1}\otimes Y\right)\right)}:X^*\otimes Y^*\to\left(X\otimes Y\right)^*$, i.e. the morphism that corresponds to $\left(\mathrm{ev}_X\otimes\mathrm{ev}_Y\right)\circ\left(X^*\otimes\left(c_{X,Y^*}\right)^{-1}\otimes Y\right)$ via the bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X^*\otimes Y^*,\left(X\otimes Y\right)^*\right)\xrightarrow{\cong}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(X^*\otimes Y^*\otimes X\otimes Y,\mathbf{1}\right).$$

Define $\varphi_0:\mathbf{1}\to F\left(\mathbf{1}\right)=\mathbf{1}^*$ by $\varphi_0=\widehat{m_{\mathbf{1}}}$, i.e. such that $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{1}}\circ\left(\varphi_0\otimes\mathbf{1}\right)=m_{\mathbf{1}}$. The proof that $\varphi_2\left(X,Y\right)$ and φ_0 fulfill the equalities required in Lemma 3.7 is left to the reader. □

Before presenting the class of examples of liftable functors as aimed at in the title of this section, we state again an easy lemma for completeness' sake.

LEMMA 3.9. *Let $(L:\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{A},R:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B})$ be an adjunction with unit $\eta:\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{B}}\to RL$ and counit $\epsilon:LR\to\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $(R^{\mathrm{op}}:\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}},L^{\mathrm{op}}:\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$ is an adjunction with unit $\epsilon^{\mathrm{op}}:\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}\to L^{\mathrm{op}}R^{\mathrm{op}}$ and counit $\eta^{\mathrm{op}}:R^{\mathrm{op}}L^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathsf{id}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}}}$.*

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a monoidal category and $F:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}$ a contravariant functor satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.7. Using again the notation from Lemma 3.2, we have that $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}=\overline{R}$. Moreover, if $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint, then (L,R) is liftable.*

Proof. We check that $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}=\overline{R}$. First observe that the domain and codomain of $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}$ are respectively

$$\left(\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{M})\right)^{\mathrm{op}}=\mathrm{Alg}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\qquad\text{and}\qquad\left(\mathsf{Coalg}\left(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{op}}=\mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathcal{M}\right)$$

so that the domain and codomain of $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $\overline{R}$ are the same. Next, one checks, in a straightforward fashion, that $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $\overline{R}$ coincide on objects. For a coalgebra morphism $f:(C,\Delta,\varepsilon)\to(C',\Delta',\varepsilon')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\left(\underline{L}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\left(f^{\mathrm{op}}\right) &= \left(\mho_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\left(\underline{L}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\left(f^{\mathrm{op}}\right)=\left[\left(\mho_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)\left(\underline{L}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{op}}\left(f^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\\ &= \left\{\left(\mho_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)\left(\underline{L}\right)\left(f\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{op}}=\left\{L\left(\mho_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\left(f\right)\right\}^{\mathrm{op}}=L^{\mathrm{op}}\left(\mho_{\mathcal{M}}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}f^{\mathrm{op}}\\ &= R\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}}\right)f^{\mathrm{op}}=\left(\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}\right)\overline{R}f^{\mathrm{op}}\end{aligned}$$

so that $\left(\underline{L}\right)^{\mathrm{op}}\left(f^{\mathrm{op}}\right)=\overline{R}f^{\mathrm{op}}$ and we conclude that $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}=\overline{R}$.
We now prove the final sentence of the statement. Assume $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$. By Lemma 3.9, we have the adjunction $((\overline{R})^{\mathrm{op}},(\overline{L})^{\mathrm{op}})$. Now, by the first part, we have that $(\underline{L})^{\mathrm{op}}=\overline{R}$ and hence $\underline{L}=(\overline{R})^{\mathrm{op}}$. Thus $\underline{L}$ has a right adjoint and hence (L,R) is liftable. □

COROLLARY 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a pre-rigid braided monoidal category. If $\overline{(-)^*}$ has a left adjoint, then $((-)^*:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}},(-)^*:\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{M})$ is a liftable pair of adjoint functors.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, since $\mathcal{M}$ is a pre-rigid braided monoidal category, the assumptions of Lemma 3.7 are satisfied. We thus have a lax monoidal functor $R=(-)^*:\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{M}$ with a left adjoint $L=(-)^*:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}$. By assumption, $\overline{R}:\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})\to\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$, where $\mathcal{A}:=\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $\mathcal{B}:=\mathcal{M}$. By Proposition 3.10, we conclude that (L,R) is liftable. □

COROLLARY 3.12. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a pre-rigid braided monoidal category. Assume that the functor $\mho:\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{M})\to\mathcal{M}$ has a right adjoint. Assume also that $\mathcal{M}$ has binary products, equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms and that both of them are preserved by the tensor products. Then $((-)^*:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}},(-)^*:\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathcal{M})$ is a liftable pair of adjoint functors.*

Proof. By the assumptions on $\mathcal{M}$, the category $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}$ fulfills the requirements of Corollary 2.4 and hence $\overline{R} = \overline{(-)^*} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$, where $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{M}$. We conclude by Corollary 3.11. □

COROLLARY 3.13. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a pre-rigid symmetric monoidal category. Assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is locally presentable and that the tensor products preserve binary products, equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms and directed colimits. Then $((-)^* : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}}, (-)^* : \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathcal{M})$ is a liftable pair of adjoint functors.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is locally presentable, it has in particular binary products and equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms. Since $\mathcal{M}$ be a symmetric monoidal, locally presentable and the tensor products preserve directed colimits, by definition $\mathcal{M}$ is admissible. Thus, by [Po1, page 8] the category $\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{M})$ is comonadic over $\mathcal{M}$. In particular the functor $\mho : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{M}$ has a right adjoint. We conclude by Corollary 3.12. □

4. Application: a G-graded version of Sweedler's finite dual

Keeping the hypotheses and notations of Lemma 3.7 and assuming that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{op}$ fulfills the requirements of Corollary 2.4, we have that $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$. The main aim of this section is to provide computations leading to an explicit description of the functor $\overline{L}$ in this case, which is obtained in Remark 4.12. Our example of main interest here is when $\mathcal{C}$ is considered to be the category of vector spaces graded by an abelian group, as done in Example 4.14. First, we need some definitions in order to state the lemmata and propositions needed for the results of Remark 4.12.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $(L : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A}, \psi_2, \psi_0)$ be a colax monoidal functor and let $\overline{B} := (B, m_B, u_B)$ be an algebra in $\mathcal{B}$.

We say that $\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ is an *induced object of* $\overline{B}$ (by L) whenever $\overline{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ consists of an object A and morphisms $m_A : A \otimes A \to A$, $u_A : \mathbf{1} \to A$ and $q : LB \to A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} q \circ Lm_B &= m_A \circ (q \otimes q) \circ \psi_2 (B, B), \\ q \circ Lu_B &= u_A \circ \psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

If the triple $\overline{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ is an algebra in $\mathcal{A}$ then $\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ is called an *induced algebra of* $\overline{B}$ (by L). Note that $\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ is an object in the comma category $(LB \downarrow \Omega)$, see [McL, page 47], where $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{A}$ is the forgetful functor.

Note that the two above notions already appeared in [PS, Definition 11] with the following different terminology.

[Porst-Street, Definition 11]	**Our terminology**
$\overline{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ induced triple from $\overline{B}$ by q	$\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ is an induced object of $\overline{B}$ (by L)
$\overline{A} = (A, m_A, u_A)$ induced quotient of $\overline{B}$ by q	$\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ (by L)

Here we prefer to avoid the use of the word "quotient" as used above because q needs not to be an epimorphism in general. Instead we will speak about *induced quotient object (or algebra) of* $\overline{B}$ whenever q comes out to be an epimorphism.

REMARK 4.2. We equally remark that in case q is an epimorphism, this new terminology could be considered not to be without ambiguity neither as it seems to suggest that $\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ is a quotient of $\overline{B}$, when it actually is a quotient of LB. Yet, we prefer this terminology as working definitions in this appendix, for if we wrote e.g. "of LB" instead of "of $\overline{B}$", we would lose the property of the notation $\overline{B}$ recalling the fact that we are dealing with an algebra.

DEFINITION 4.3. Let $q : Q \to A$ and $q' : Q \to A'$ be morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$. If there is a morphism $f : A' \to A$ such that $f \circ q' = q$ then one says that q' preceeds q, in symbols $\left(Q \xrightarrow{q'} A'\right) \leq \left(Q \xrightarrow{q} A\right)$, see [Mit].

Thus we can say that an induced quotient $\left(\overline{A'}, q'\right)$ is smaller than another one $\left(\overline{A}, q\right)$ when $q' \leq q$.

Let us write (9) in the setting of Corollary 2.4

PROPOSITION 4.4. *In the setting of Corollary 2.4, let $\alpha : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \Omega T$ and $\gamma : T\Omega \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}$ be the unit and counit of the adjunction (T, Ω). Let $\overline{B} := (B, m_B, u_B)$ be an algebra in $\mathcal{B}$. Consider the following diagram*

$$TL(B \otimes B) \underset{\mu}{\overset{TLm_B}{\rightrightarrows}} TLB \underset{\gamma_{TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\Omega TLB} \circ TLu_{R\Omega TLB}}{\overset{TLu_B}{\leftleftarrows}} TL\mathbf{1} \,. \tag{12}$$

where $\mu = \gamma_{TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\Omega TLB} \circ TLm_{R\Omega TLB} \circ TL\left(R\alpha_{LB} \circ \eta_B \otimes R\alpha_{LB} \circ \eta_B\right)$ and where the morphisms $m_{R\Omega TLB}$ and $u_{R\Omega TLB}$ are determined by the equality

$$(R\Omega TLB, m_{R\Omega TLB}, u_{R\Omega TLB}) = \overline{R}TLB.$$

Then an algebra map $\xi : TLB \to \overline{A}$ coequalizes the pairs in (12) if and only if $\left(\overline{A}, \Omega\xi \circ \alpha_{LB} : LB \to A\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$.

Let $\left(\overline{LB}, (\kappa)_{\overline{B}} : TLB \to \overline{LB}\right)$ be a colimit for (12). Then $\left(\overline{LB}, p := \Omega\left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} \circ \alpha_{LB} : LB \to \Omega\overline{LB}\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ and it is smaller than any other induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. More precisely, if $\left(\overline{A}, q : LB \to A\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$, then there is an algebra map $\overline{h} : \overline{LB} \to \overline{A}$ such that $\Omega\overline{h} \circ p = q$ and $\overline{h} \circ (\kappa)_{\overline{B}} = \gamma_{\overline{A}} \circ Tq$.

Proof. Consider the adjunctions $(L, R, \eta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \to RL, \epsilon : LR \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\left(T, \Omega, \alpha : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \Omega T, \gamma : T\Omega \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\right)$ as in Corollary 2.4. Then we have the adjunction

$$\left(TL, R\Omega, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\eta} RL \xrightarrow{R\alpha L} R\Omega TL, TLR\Omega \xrightarrow{T\epsilon\Omega} T\Omega \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\right).$$

The diagram (9) becomes (12) in our setting , where the morphisms $m_{R\Omega TLB}$ and $u_{R\Omega TLB}$ are determined by the equality

$$(R\Omega TLB, m_{R\Omega TLB}, u_{R\Omega TLB}) = \overline{R}TLB.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} m_{R\Omega TLB} &= \left(R\Omega TLB \otimes R\Omega TLB \xrightarrow{\phi_2(\Omega TLB, \Omega TLB)} R\left(\Omega TLB \otimes \Omega TLB\right) \xrightarrow{Rm_{\Omega TLB}} R\Omega TLB\right) \\ u_{R\Omega TLB} &= \left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{Ru_{\Omega TLB}} R\Omega TLB\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $TLB = (\Omega TLB, m_{\Omega TLB}, u_{\Omega TLB})$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_{TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\Omega TLB} \circ TLm_{R\Omega TLB} \circ TL\left(R\alpha_{LB} \circ \eta_B \otimes R\alpha_{LB} \circ \eta_B\right) \\ = \; & \gamma_{TLB} \circ Tm_{\Omega TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\Omega TLB \otimes \Omega TLB} \circ TL\phi_2\left(\Omega TLB, \Omega TLB\right) \circ TL\left(R\alpha_{LB} \otimes R\alpha_{LB}\right) \circ TL\left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right) \\ = \; & \gamma_{TLB} \circ Tm_{\Omega TLB} \circ T\left(\alpha_{LB} \otimes \alpha_{LB}\right) \circ T\epsilon_{LB \otimes LB} \circ TL\phi_2\left(LB, LB\right) \circ TL\left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\Omega TLB} \circ TLu_{R\Omega TLB} &= \gamma_{TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\Omega TLB} \circ TLRu_{\Omega TLB} \circ TL\phi_0 \\ &= \gamma_{TLB} \circ Tu_{\Omega TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}} \circ TL\phi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\xi : TLB \to \overline{A}$ be some algebra map. Then ξ coequalizes at the same time both pairs in (12) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \circ TLm_B &= \xi \circ \gamma_{TLB} \circ Tm_{\Omega TLB} \circ T\left(\alpha_{LB} \otimes \alpha_{LB}\right) \circ T\epsilon_{LB \otimes LB} \circ TL\phi_2\left(LB, LB\right) \circ TL\left(\eta_B \otimes \eta_B\right), \\ \xi \circ TLu_B &= \xi \circ \gamma_{TLB} \circ Tu_{\Omega TLB} \circ T\epsilon_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}} \circ TL\phi_0. \end{aligned}$$

These are equalities in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\left(TL\left(B \otimes B\right), \overline{A}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\left(TL\mathbf{1}, \overline{A}\right)$, respectively. Note that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\left(TX, Y\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi(X,Y)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(X, \Omega Y\right) : f \mapsto \Omega f \circ \alpha_X$$

has inverse

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(X, \Omega Y\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi(X,Y)^{-1}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\left(TX, Y\right) : g \mapsto \gamma_Y \circ Tg$$

using the adjunction (T,Ω). By applying $\Phi(X,Y)$, the equalities above become

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(\xi\circ TLm_B)\circ\alpha_{L(B\otimes B)} &= \Omega[\xi\circ\gamma_{TLB}\circ Tm_{\Omega TLB}\circ T(\alpha_{LB}\otimes\alpha_{LB})\circ T\epsilon_{LB\otimes LB}\circ TL\phi_2(LB,LB)\circ TL(\eta_B\otimes\eta_B)]\circ\alpha_{L(B\otimes B)},\\ \Omega(\xi\circ TLu_B)\circ\alpha_{L\mathbf{1}} &= \Omega(\xi\circ\gamma_{TLB}\circ Tu_{\Omega TLB}\circ T\epsilon_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}}\circ TL\phi_0)\circ\alpha_{L\mathbf{1}}\end{aligned}$$

which reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}\circ Lm_B &= \Omega\xi\circ m_{\Omega TLB}\circ(\alpha_{LB}\otimes\alpha_{LB})\circ\epsilon_{LB\otimes LB}\circ L\phi_2(LB,LB)\circ L(\eta_B\otimes\eta_B),\\ \Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}\circ Lu_B &= \Omega\xi\circ u_{\Omega TLB}\circ\epsilon_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}}\circ L\phi_0\end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}\circ Lm_B &= \Omega\xi\circ m_{\Omega TLB}\circ(\alpha_{LB}\otimes\alpha_{LB})\circ\psi_2(B,B),\\ \Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}\circ Lu_B &= \Omega\xi\circ u_{\Omega TLB}\circ\psi_0\end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi:TLB\to\overline{A}$ is an algebra map, $\Omega\xi\circ m_{\Omega TLB}=m_A\circ(\Omega\xi\otimes\Omega\xi)$ and $\Omega\xi\circ u_{\Omega TLB}=u_A$ so that the last displayed equalities above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}\circ Lm_B &= m_A\circ(\Omega\xi\otimes\Omega\xi)\circ(\alpha_{LB}\otimes\alpha_{LB})\circ\psi_2(B,B),\\ \Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}\circ Lu_B &= u_A\circ\psi_0.\end{aligned}$$

If we set $q:=\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}:LB\to A$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}q\circ Lm_B &= m_A\circ(q\otimes q)\circ\psi_2(B,B),\\ q\circ Lu_B &= u_A\circ\psi_0.\end{aligned}$$

Since, from the very beginning, $\overline{A}=(A,m_A,u_A)$ is an algebra, the last displayed equalities mean that $\left(\overline{A},q\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. More precisely, an algebra map $\xi:TLB\to\overline{A}$ coequalizes the pairs in (12) if and only if $\left(\overline{A},\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}:LB\to A\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$.

Using this fact, let us check that there is a morphism p such that $\left(\overline{LB},p\right)$ is smaller than any induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. By construction, $\left(\overline{LB},(\kappa)_{\overline{B}}:TLB\to\overline{LB}\right)$ is a colimit for (12) so that $(\kappa)_{\overline{B}}$ coequalizes the pairs in (12) and hence $\left(\overline{LB},p\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ where $p:=\Omega(\kappa)_{\overline{B}}\circ\alpha_{LB}:LB\to\Omega\overline{LB}$.

It remains to check it is smaller than any other one. Let $\left(\overline{A},q:LB\to A\right)$ be an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. By the adjunction $\left(T,\Omega,\alpha:\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{A}}\to\Omega T,\gamma:T\Omega\to\mathrm{Id}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\right)$ there is an algebra map $\xi:TLB\to\overline{A}$ such that $q=\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}$. Explicitly $\xi:=\gamma_{\overline{A}}\circ Tq$. By the foregoing $\xi:TLB\to\overline{A}$ coequalizes (12). Since $\left(\overline{LB},(\kappa)_{\overline{B}}:TLB\to\overline{LB}\right)$ is a colimit for (12), there is an algebra map $\overline{h}:\overline{LB}\to\overline{A}$ such that $\overline{h}\circ(\kappa)_{\overline{B}}=\xi$. Thus

$$\Omega\overline{h}\circ p=\Omega\overline{h}\circ\Omega(\kappa)_{\overline{B}}\circ\alpha_{LB}=\Omega\xi\circ\alpha_{LB}=q$$

and hence $\left(\overline{LB},p\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{A},q\right)$. □

The next aim is to reduce to the case where induced algebras are real quotients.

LEMMA 4.5. *Assume that the tensor products preserve epimorphisms and that ψ_0 and the components of ψ_2 are epimorphisms. Then any induced quotient object of $\overline{B}$ is an induced quotient algebra of $\overline{B}$.*

Proof. Let $\left(\overline{E},q:LB\to E\right)$ be an induced quotient object of $\overline{B}$. One easily verifies that

$$m_E\circ(m_E\otimes E)\circ(q\otimes q\otimes q)\circ(\psi_2(B,B)\otimes LB)\circ\psi_2(B\otimes B,B)=q\circ Lm_B\circ L(m_B\otimes B)\text{ and}$$

$$m_E\circ(E\otimes m_E)\circ(q\otimes q\otimes q)\circ(LB\otimes\psi_2(B,B))\circ\psi_2(B,B\otimes B)=q\circ Lm_B\circ L(B\otimes m_B).$$

Since m_B is associative and $(\psi_2(B,B)\otimes LB)\circ\psi_2(B\otimes B,B)=(LB\otimes\psi_2(B,B))\circ\psi_2(B,B\otimes B)$ is an epimorphism, and $q\otimes q\otimes q$ is an epimorphism, we deduce that m_E is associative too.

Using naturality of ψ_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}m_E\circ(u_E\otimes E)\circ(\psi_0\otimes q)\circ\psi_2(\mathbf{1},B) &= m_E\circ(q\otimes q)\circ(Lu_B\otimes LB)\circ\psi_2(\mathbf{1},B)\\ &= q\circ Lm_B\circ L(u_B\otimes B)\\ &= q\circ L(l_B)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q \circ l_{LB} \circ (\psi_0 \otimes LB) \circ \psi_2 (\mathbf{1}, B) \\ &= l_E \circ (\psi_0 \otimes q) \circ \psi_2 (\mathbf{1}, B) \end{aligned}$$

so that $m_E \circ (u_E \otimes E) = l_E$. Similarly one proves that $m_E \circ (E \otimes u_E) = r_E$. Then $\overline{E}$ is an algebra so that $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$ is an induced quotient algebra of $\overline{B}$. □

Before continuing, let us recall the definition of a strong monomorphism in a category.

Definition 4.6. A monomorphism m is called *strong* if for every commutative square



where e is an epimorphism, there is a unique morphism w such that $w \circ e = u$ and $m \circ w = v$.

For instance, one can easily verify that a regular monomorphism is always strong.

Remark 4.7. Following [Mit, page 12], recall that a *coimage* of a morphism $q : Q \to A$ in an arbitrary category is a pair $\mathrm{Coim}\,(q) := (A', q' : Q \to A')$ where q' is an epimorphism such that $\left(Q \overset{q'}{\to} A'\right) \leq \left(Q \overset{q}{\to} A\right)$ and, if there is another epimorphism $q'' : Q \to A''$ such that $\left(Q \overset{q''}{\to} A''\right) \leq \left(Q \overset{q}{\to} A\right)$, then $\left(Q \overset{q''}{\to} A''\right) \leq \left(Q \overset{q'}{\to} A'\right)$. In other words $\mathrm{Coim}\,(q)$ is the biggest quotient object of Q which q factors through.

Now, consider a morphism $q : Q \to A$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Assume that q can be factorized into an epimorphism $q' : Q \to A'$ followed by a strong monomorphism $h' : A' \to A$, so that $q = h' \circ q'$. Then $(A', q' : Q \to A') = \mathrm{Coim}\,(q)$.

Given an induced algebra $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$ of $\overline{B}$, the next lemma shows that, under mild assumptions, $\mathrm{Coim}\,(q) = (E', q')$ becomes an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ smaller than $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$ be an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. Assume that*

- *there are a strong monomorphism $h : E' \to E$ and an epimorphism $q' : LB \to E'$ such that $q = h \circ q'$;*
- *the morphisms $(q' \otimes q') \circ \psi_2 (B, B)$ and ψ_0 are epimorphisms.*

Then E' becomes a subalgebra of $\overline{E}$ (through h) which comes out to be an induced quotient algebra $\left(\overline{E'}, q'\right)$ of $\overline{B}$.

Furthermore $\left(\overline{E'}, q'\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$.

Proof. We have

$$m_E \circ (h \otimes h) \circ (q' \otimes q') \circ \psi_2 (B, B) = m_E \circ (q \otimes q) \circ \psi_2 (B, B) = q \circ Lm_B = h \circ q' \circ Lm_B$$

and $u_E \circ \psi_0 = q \circ Lu_B = h \circ q' \circ Lu_B$. Hence we have the following commutative squares





Since the morphisms $(q' \otimes q') \circ \psi_2 (B, B)$ and ψ_0 are epimorphisms, and h is a strong monomorphism, there is a unique morphism $m_{E'}$ such that $h \circ m_{E'} = m_E \circ (h \otimes h)$ and $m_{E'} \circ (q' \otimes q') \circ \psi_2 (B, B) = q' \circ Lm_B$, and there is a unique morphism $u_{E'}$ such that $h \circ u_{E'} = u_E$ and $u_{E'} \circ \psi_0 = q' \circ Lu_B$. Thus $\left(\overline{E'}, q'\right)$ is an induced object of $\overline{B}$, where we set $\overline{E'} := (E', m_{E'}, u_{E'})$. Since h is a monomorphism one easily checks that $\overline{E'} := (E', m_{E'}, u_{E'})$ is an algebra by using the fact that $\overline{E} := (E, \Delta_E, \varepsilon_E)$ is. Furthermore h is an algebra map. Hence $\left(\overline{E'}, q'\right)$ is an induced quotient algebra of $\overline{B}$. The last part of the statement is a consequence of the equality $q = h \circ q'$. □

THEOREM 4.9. *In the setting of Proposition 4.4, assume that*

- *any morphism $q : LB \to E$ in $\mathcal{A}$ can be factorized into an epimorphism $\delta_D : LB \to D$ followed by a strong monomorphism $h : D \to E$, so that $q = h \circ \delta_D$;*
- *the tensor products preserve epimorphisms;*
- *ψ_0 and the components of ψ_2 are epimorphisms.*

Then $p : LB \to \Omega\overline{LB}$ is an epimorphism. Moreover, $\left(\overline{LB}, p\right)$ is the smallest induced quotient object (algebra) of $\overline{B}$.

More precisely, if $\left(\overline{E}, q : LB \to E\right)$ is an induced quotient object of $\overline{B}$ then $\overline{E}$ is algebra and there is an algebra map $\overline{h} : \overline{LB} \to \overline{E}$ such that $\Omega\overline{h} \circ p = q$ and h is an epimorphism.

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, we know that $\left(\overline{LB}, p := \Omega\left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} \circ \alpha_{LB} : LB \to \Omega\overline{LB}\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ and it is smaller than any other induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. In particular, it is an induced object. Let us check that p is an epimorphism. By hypothesis, p can be factorized into an epimorphism $\delta_D : LB \to D$ followed by a strong monomorphism $h : D \to \Omega\overline{LB}$, so that $p = h \circ \delta_D$. By Lemma 4.8, D becomes a subalgebra of $\overline{LB}$ (through h) which comes out to be an induced quotient algebra $\left(\overline{D}, \delta_D\right)$ of $\overline{B}$. Furthermore $\left(\overline{D}, \delta_D\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{LB}, p\right)$. By minimality of the latter, we also have $\left(LB \overset{p}{\to} \Omega\overline{LB}\right) \leq \left(LB \overset{\delta_D}{\to} D\right)$ and this forces h to be invertible by the following argument.

By Proposition 4.4, there is an algebra map $\overline{t} : \overline{LB} \to \overline{D}$ such that $\overline{t} \circ \left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} = \gamma_{\overline{D}} \circ T\delta_D$ and $t \circ p = \delta_D$, where $t = \Omega\overline{t}$. Since D becomes a subalgebra $\overline{D}$ of $\overline{LB}$ via h, $h : D \to \Omega\overline{LB}$ induces an algebra map $\overline{h} : \overline{D} \to \overline{LB}$ such that $\Omega\overline{h} = h$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{h} \circ \overline{t} \circ \left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} &= \overline{h} \circ \gamma_{\overline{D}} \circ T\delta_D = \gamma_{\overline{LB}} \circ T\Omega\overline{h} \circ T\delta_D \\ &= \gamma_{\overline{LB}} \circ Th \circ T\delta_D = \gamma_{\overline{LB}} \circ Tp = \left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that p and $\left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}}$ are mates through the adjunction. Since $\left(\overline{LB}, \left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} : TLB \to \overline{LB}\right)$ is a colimit, we get that $\overline{h} \circ \overline{t} = \mathrm{Id}$ and hence $h \circ t = 1_{\Omega LB}$. On the other hand $t \circ h \circ \delta_D = t \circ p = \delta_D$. Since δ_D is an epimorphism, we get $t \circ h = 1_D$. Thus t is invertible and hence p is an epimorphism.

Let us prove the last part of the statement. Let now $\left(\overline{E}, q : LB \to E\right)$ be an induced quotient object of $\overline{B}$. By Lemma 4.5, $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$ is an induced quotient algebra of $\overline{B}$. By the last part of Proposition 4.4, there is an algebra map $\overline{h} : \overline{LB} \to \overline{E}$ such that $\Omega\overline{h} \circ p = q$. Since q is an epimorphism, so is $h = \Omega\overline{h}$.

We get $\left(LB \overset{p}{\to} \Omega\overline{LB}\right) \leq \left(LB \overset{q}{\to} E\right)$. Thus $\left(\overline{LB}, p\right)$ is smaller than any other induced quotient object (algebra) of $\overline{B}$. Since $\leq$ is antisymmetric on epimorphisms, we deduce that, up to isomorphism, there is just one induced quotient object (algebra) of $\overline{B}$ which is smaller than any other one. □

The next two results serve to give a better description of $\overline{LB}$ in case our category has suitable products.

LEMMA 4.10. *The product of induced algebras of $\overline{B}$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$. More precisely, let $\left(\overline{E}_i, q_i : LB \to E_i\right)$ be an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ for every i in some set I. Assume that $\left(E_i\right)_{i \in I}$ has a product $\left(E, \left(p_t : E \to E_t\right)_{t \in I}\right)$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Then E becomes the product $\overline{E}$ in $\mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathcal{A}\right)$ of $\left(\overline{E}_i\right)_{i \in I}$ and $\left(\overline{E}, q : LB \to E\right)$ comes out to be an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ where q is the unique morphism such that $q_i = p_i \circ q$ for every i. Moreover, $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{E}_i, q_i\right)$ for every $i \in I$.*

Proof. By the universal property of the product, there is a unique morphism $q : LB \to E$ such that $p_i \circ q = q_i$.

By [Pa3, Proposition 2.5], the functor $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathcal{A}\right) \to \mathcal{A}$ creates limits. Thus there is $\overline{E} \in \mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathcal{A}\right)$ such that $\Omega\overline{E} = E$ and $\overline{p_t} \in \mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathcal{A}\right)$ such that $\Omega\overline{p_t} = p_t$. Furthermore $\left(\overline{E}, \left(\overline{p_t}\right)_{t \in \mathcal{I}}\right)$ is the product in $\mathsf{Alg}\left(\mathcal{A}\right)$ of $\left(\overline{E}_i\right)_{i \in I}$. We have

$$p_i \circ q \circ Lm_B = q_i \circ Lm_B = m_{E_i} \circ \left(q_i \otimes q_i\right) \circ \psi_2\left(B, B\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= m_{E_i} \circ (p_i \otimes p_i) \circ (q \otimes q) \circ \psi_2 (B, B) \\ &= p_i \circ m_E \circ (q \otimes q) \circ \psi_2 (B, B), \\ p_i \circ q \circ Lu_B &= q_i \circ Lu_B = u_{E_i} \circ \psi_0 = p_i \circ u_E \circ \psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness in the universal property of the product, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} q \circ Lm_B &= m_E \circ (q \otimes q) \circ \psi_2 (B, B), \\ q \circ Lu_B &= u_E \circ \psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$ is an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$.

The last part of the statement is a consequence of the equality $q_i = p_i \circ q$. □

PROPOSITION 4.11. *Assume that there is a set $\mathcal{S}_B$ consisting of object in $\mathcal{A}$ such that*

- *if $\left(\overline{E}, q : LB \to E\right)$ is an induced object of $\overline{B}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, then q can be factorized into an epimorphism $\delta_D : LB \to D$ with D in $\mathcal{S}_B$ followed by a strong monomorphism $h : D \to E$, so that $q = h \circ \delta_D$;*
- *any object in $\mathcal{S}_B$ is a D as above;*
- *the tensor products preserve epimorphisms;*
- *ψ_0 and the components of ψ_2 are epimorphisms;*
- *in $\mathcal{A}$ there exists the product $\prod_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} D$.*

Let $\delta := \Delta (\delta_D)_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} : LB \to \prod_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} D$ be the diagonal morphism of $(\delta_D)_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B}$. Then $\mathrm{Coim}(\delta)$ *becomes an induced quotient algebra of $\overline{B}$ which we can identify with $\left(\overline{LB}, p : LB \to \Omega\overline{LB}\right)$. Moreover the underlying subobject of* $\mathrm{Coim}(\delta)$ *belongs to $\mathcal{S}_B$.*

Proof. Consider the following steps.

Step 1). Let $\left(\overline{E}, q : LB \to E\right)$ be an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ and write q, as in the statement, in the form $q = h \circ \delta_D$ for some $D \in \mathcal{S}_B$. By Lemma 4.8, D becomes a subalgebra of $\overline{E}$ (through h) which comes out to be an induced algebra $\left(\overline{D}, \delta_D\right)$ of $\overline{B}$. Moreover $\left(\overline{D}, \delta_D\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{E}, q\right)$.

Step 2). Let $E := \prod_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} D$ (this makes sense as $\mathcal{S}_B$ is a set). By Lemma 4.10, E becomes the product $\overline{E}$ in $\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\left(\overline{D}\right)_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B}$ and $\left(\overline{E}, \delta : LB \to E\right)$ comes out to be an induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ where δ is the unique morphism such that $\delta_D = p_D \circ \delta$ for every D, and $p_D : E \to D$ is the canonical projection. Moreover $\left(\overline{E}, \delta\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{D}, \delta_D\right)$ for every $D \in \mathcal{S}_B$.

Step 3). If we apply Step 1 to the induced algebra $\left(\overline{E}, \delta : LB \to E\right)$ of Step 2, we can factorize δ into an epimorphism $\delta_C : LB \to C \in \mathcal{S}_B$ followed by a strong monomorphism $h : C \to E = \prod_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} D$ and C becomes a subalgebra of $\overline{E}$ (through h) which comes out to be an induced quotient algebra $\left(\overline{C}, \delta_C\right)$ of $\overline{B}$. Moreover, $\left(\overline{C}, \delta_C\right)$ is smaller than $\left(\overline{E}, \delta\right)$.

Step 4). By the previous steps $\left(\overline{C}, \delta_C\right)$ is smaller than any induced algebra of $\overline{B}$ and in particular of any induced algebra quotient of $\overline{B}$.

Now, by Theorem 4.9, we know that $\left(\overline{LB}, p\right)$ is the smallest induced quotient object (algebra) of $\overline{B}$.

Then $\left(\overline{C}, \delta_C\right)$ is $\left(\overline{LB}, p : LB \to \Omega\overline{LB}\right)$ up to isomorphism.

□

REMARK 4.12. Keep the hypotheses and notations of Lemma 3.7 and assume that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{op}$ fulfills the requirements of Corollary 2.4 i.e. the functor $\mho : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint, $\mathcal{C}$ has binary products, equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms and both of them are preserved by the tensor products. Then $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$ which we will now describe. We have

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{op} \underset{L}{\overset{R}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}.$$

In this case $LX = (FX)^{op}$, $R(Y^{op}) = FY$, $\eta_X = i_X$ and $\epsilon_{Y^{op}} = (j_Y)^{op}$. Moreover, (R, ϕ_2, ϕ_0) is lax monoidal and (L, ψ_2, ψ_0) is colax monoidal where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2(X^{op}, Y^{op}) &: = \varphi_2(X, Y), \qquad \phi_0 := \varphi_0, \\ \psi_2(X, Y) &: = \varphi_2(X, Y)^{op}, \qquad \psi_0 := [\varphi_0]^{op}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(X, Y) &: FX \otimes FY \to F(X \otimes Y), \\ \varphi_0 &: \mathbf{1} \to F\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite in $\mathcal{C}$ the notion of induced object in $\mathcal{A}(= \mathcal{C}^{op})$ of an algebra $\overline{B}(= (B, m_B, u_B))$ in $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$. It consists of a pair $(\underline{E} = (E, \Delta_E, \varepsilon_E), e)$, where E is an object in $\mathcal{C}$ and Δ_E, ε_E and $e : E \to FB$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} Fm_B \circ e &= \varphi_2(B, B) \circ (e \otimes e) \circ \Delta_E, \\ Fu_B \circ e &= \varphi_0 \circ \varepsilon_E. \end{aligned}$$

If φ_0 is invertible, then $\varepsilon_E = \varphi_0^{-1} \circ Fu_B \circ e$, so that ε_E is completely determined and can be ignored. Thus it suffices to consider terns (E, Δ_E, e) such that the first displayed equality is fulfilled. Such a tern will be called a *good object* (or *good subobject* when e comes out to be a monomorphism) of FB.

If $(\underline{E}^{op}, e^{op})$ is an induced quotient object of $\overline{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ then we are further requiring that e is a monomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$. In this case E becomes a subobject of FB via e. Moreover, by Lemma 4.5 applied to $\mathcal{C}^{op}$, we get that $(\underline{E}^{op}, e^{op})$ is an induced quotient algebra of $\overline{B}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ so that $\underline{E} = (E, \Delta_E, e)$ is indeed a coalgebra.

By Lemma 2.3 we have that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{op}$ has all coequalizers and they are preserved by the tensor products.

Assume that any morphism $e : E \to FB$ in $\mathcal{C}$ can be factorized into a strong epimorphism followed by a monomorphism. Assume also that both φ_0 and the components of φ_2 are monomorphisms.

Then, by Theorem 4.9 applied to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{op}$, $\overline{LB}$ comes out to be the biggest good subobject of FB.

Furthermore, $\overline{LB}$ can be described as $\sum_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} D$ if we can find a set of representatives of the induced quotient objects of $\overline{B}$ in $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^{op}$ that admits products in $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ (as happens in the setting of Proposition 4.11).

We now take a closer look at two examples, by first taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{Vec}$ in Example 4.13, then by taking $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{Vec}_G$ in Example 4.14, which is our case of main interest.

Example 4.13. Let us consider the case of vector spaces where $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{Vec}$ and $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} : X \mapsto X^*$. The maps φ_2 and φ_0 are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2(X, Y) &: X^* \otimes Y^* \to (X \otimes Y)^* : f \otimes g \mapsto m_{\Bbbk}(f \otimes g), \\ \varphi_0 &: k \to k^* : a \mapsto a1_k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that all the requirements of Remark 4.12 are satisfied (note in particular that all epimorphisms are regular whence strong). Thus, given an algebra $\overline{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ in $\mathcal{C}$, we get that $\overline{LB}$ can be identified with the biggest good subspace of B^* (we will use the word subspace when the monomorphism is an inclusion). If we denote by $\mathcal{S}_B$ the set of good subspaces of B^*, then the hypotheses of Proposition 4.11 are satisfied so that $\overline{LB} = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{S}_B} D$. By [Mi1, pages 19-20] we know that this is exactly B°.

Example 4.14. Let G be an abelian group, with neutral element e and let Vec_G be the category whose objects are vector spaces (over a field k) graded by the group G. For objects $V = \oplus_{g \in G} V_g, W = \oplus_{g \in G} W_g \in \mathsf{Vec}_G$, the set of morphisms in Vec_G (i.e. degree-preserving k-linear maps) will be denoted as $\mathrm{Hom}(V, W)$. Vec_G admits a monoidal category structure, which we now briefly recall. If $V, W \in \mathsf{Vec}_G$, then $V \otimes W := \bigoplus_g (\oplus_{xy=g} V_x \otimes_k W_y)$ becomes an object in Vec_G. The unit object is $k = k_e$. Taking associativity and unit constraints to be trivial,

$(\mathsf{Vec}_G, \otimes, k)$ indeed becomes a strict monoidal category.
We now make a notational convention: in order to avoid confusion with the usual (non-graded) linear dual of a vector space V in the computations below, $\mathrm{HOM}(V,k)$ is denoted by $V^\vee$. For any object $V = \oplus_g V_g \in \mathsf{Vec}_G$, $V^\vee$ is then defined as follows. Consider the right adjoint to the endofunctor $(-)\otimes V$ of Vec_G (tensor product of graded vector spaces) and denote this adjoint by $\mathrm{HOM}(V,-)$. Then $V^\vee := \mathrm{HOM}(V,k)$. We can get a more detailed description of $V^\vee$. Indeed, since G is abelian, we have that $\mathrm{HOM}(V,W) = \oplus_{g\in G}\mathrm{HOM}(V,W)_g$ where $\mathrm{HOM}(V,W)_g = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V,W) \mid f(V_h) \subseteq W_{hg} \text{ for every } h \in G\}$, for any $V, W \in \mathsf{Vec}_G$. So

$$\begin{aligned}(V^\vee)_g &= \mathrm{HOM}(V,k)_g = \{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V,k) \mid f(V_h) \subseteq k_{hg} \text{ for every } h \in G\}\\ &= \left\{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(V,k) \mid f(V_h) = 0 \text{ for every } h \in G, h \neq g^{-1}\right\} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_k\left(V_{g^{-1}}, k\right).\end{aligned}$$

In order to discuss braided structures on Vec_G, recall that a bicharacter on G is a map $\alpha : G\times G \to k\setminus 0$ such that

$$\alpha(gh,l) = \alpha(g,l)\alpha(h,l) \text{ and } \alpha(g,hl) = \alpha(g,h)\alpha(g,l),\ \forall g,h,l \in G.$$

Letting α be a bicharacter, we can define a braiding c^α on Vec_G, given on homogeneous objects by

$$c^\alpha_{V_g,W_h} : V_g \otimes W_h \to W_h \otimes V_g\ ;\ c^\alpha_{V_g,W_h}(v\otimes w) = \alpha(g,h)w\otimes v.$$

We notice that, in order for c^α to be well-defined, the requirement that G is abelian, is crucial here. Remark also that c^α is a symmetry if and only if moreover holds that $\alpha(g,h)\alpha(h,g) = 1,\ \forall g,h \in G$. We shall denote the thus-obtained braided monoidal category as Vec^α_G.
Vec^α_G is a braided and (right) closed monoidal category (cf. [Ho, Theorem 1.3.1], e.g.), and hence satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.5. This implies Vec^α_G is pre-rigid and we can use Propositions 3.3 and 3.8 to conclude that

$$R := (-)^\vee : (\mathsf{Vec}^\alpha_G)^{op} \to \mathsf{Vec}^\alpha_G$$

is a self-adjoint lax monoidal functor, for any bicharacter α.
Now, using [AI, Corollary 4.6], the forgetful functor $\Omega : \mathsf{Alg}((\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}) \to (\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}$ can be seen to have a left adjoint. Also, since Vec_G has binary products, we deduce that $(\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}$ has binary coproducts. Since Vec_G has equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms, $(\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}$ has coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms. Since the tensor product in Vec_G is $\otimes_k$, it preserves binary products and equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms so that also the tensor product in $(\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}$ preserves binary coproducts and coequalizers of reflexive pairs of morphisms.
In conclusion, we are free to apply Corollary 2.4 to deduce that $\overline{R}$ has a left adjoint $\overline{L}$. Although it does not seem to appear in literature, it can be described explicitly what this functor actually looks like. It is our purpose here to do so. Indeed, it is shown below that, given an algebra $\overline{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ in Vec_G, $\overline{L}(\overline{B})$ can be identified with the biggest "good" G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$. More precisely, $\overline{L}(\overline{B}) = (\underline{B^\bullet})^{\mathrm{op}}$ where

$$B^\bullet = \left\{f \in B^\vee \mid \xi_B(f) \text{ vanishes on some } I \in \mathcal{I}^f_B\right\},$$

$\xi_B : B^\vee = \mathrm{HOM}(B,k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_k(B,k)$ denoting the canonical injection and $\mathcal{I}^f_B$ being the set of finite-codimensional G-graded ideals of $\overline{B}$.
Finally, Proposition 3.8 then allows us to apply Proposition 3.10 and we can conclude that the adjoint pair of functors (L,R) introduced above is liftable. Using the results recalled in 1.4, this means we get an induced auto-adjunction $(\underline{\overline{L}}, \underline{\overline{R}})$ on the category of Hopf algebras in Vec^α_G, i.e. "color Hopf algebras" (in the sense of [AAB, Section 1.4] e.g.), for any bicharacter α.

Remark 4.15. Note that, if we take G to be the trivial group in the above discussion, we recover the case of vector spaces. When taking $G = \langle g | g^2 = 1\rangle$, the cyclic group of order two, and α trivial everywhere except for $\alpha(g,g) = -1$, one obtains the super vector space case; this incorporates [GV, Remark 3.1.].

Let us proceed with the details of the computation of $\overline{L}(\overline{B})$. We recall that we denote $\mathrm{HOM}(V,k)$ by $V^\vee$ to avoid confusion with the linear dual V^* (which will also be used in our computations).

Recall that $(X^\vee)_a \cong (X_{a^{-1}})^*$. Let us compute $\varphi_2(X,Y) : X^\vee \otimes Y^\vee \to (X\otimes Y)^\vee$ in the sense of Proposition 3.8. This is uniquely determined, for $f \in (X^\vee)_a, g \in (Y^\vee)_b$, by the equality

$$\varphi_2(X,Y)(f\otimes g) = \left[(\mathrm{ev}_X \otimes \mathrm{ev}_Y)\left(X^\vee \otimes (c_{X,Y^\vee})^{-1} \otimes Y\right)(f\otimes g\otimes -)\right].$$

Given $x \in X_c, y \in Y_d$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_2(X,Y)(f\otimes g)(x\otimes y) &= \left[(\mathrm{ev}_X \otimes \mathrm{ev}_Y)\circ\left(X^\vee \otimes (c_{X,Y^*})^{-1} \otimes Y\right)\right](f\otimes g\otimes x\otimes y)\\ &= \alpha(c,b)^{-1} f(x) g(y) = \delta_{ca,e}\delta_{db,e}\alpha(c,b)^{-1} f(x) g(y)\\ &= \alpha(a,b) f(x) g(y) = \alpha(a,b) m_k(f\otimes g)(x\otimes y).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi_2(X,Y)(f\otimes g) := \alpha(a,b) m_k(f\otimes g)$.

Let us compute $\varphi_0 : k \to k^\vee$ in the sense of Proposition 3.8. This is uniquely determined, for $\lambda \in k$, by the equality

$$\varphi_0(\lambda) = m_k(\lambda\otimes -) = \lambda 1_k.$$

Note that all the requirements of Remark 4.12 are satisfied, by the discussion above. Thus, given an algebra $\overline{B} = (B, m_B, u_B)$ in $\mathcal{C} = \mathsf{Vec}_G$, we get that $\overline{LB}$ can be identified with the biggest good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$ (we will use the word subspace when the monomorphism is an inclusion).

If we denote by $\mathcal{S}_B$ the set of good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$, then the hypotheses of Proposition 4.11 are satisfied so that $\overline{LB} = (\underline{B^\bullet})^{op}$ where we set $B^\bullet := \sum_{D\in\mathcal{S}_B} D$. Moreover the canonical morphism p equals θ_B^{op} where $\theta_B : B^\bullet \to B^\vee$ is the canonical inclusion.

To round off this example, let us describe explicitly the good G-graded subspaces of $B^\vee$.

We compute the morphisms $i_X, j_X : X \to X^{\vee\vee}$. For $x \in X_a$, $f \in (X^\vee)_b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_X(x)(f) &= \mathrm{ev}_X c_{X,X^\vee}(x\otimes f) = \alpha(a,b) f(x) = \delta_{ab,1}\alpha(a,b) f(x) = \alpha\left(a,a^{-1}\right) f(x),\\ j_X(x)(f) &= \mathrm{ev}_X (c_{X^\vee,X})^{-1}(x\otimes f) = \alpha(b,a)^{-1} f(x) = \delta_{ab,1}\alpha(b,a)^{-1} f(x) = \alpha(a,a) f(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus their graded components $(i_X)_a, (j_X)_a : X_a \to (X^{\vee\vee})_a$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} i_X(x)(f) &= \alpha(a,a)^{-1} f(x), \text{ for } x\in X_a, f\in X^\vee,\\ j_X(x)(f) &= \alpha(a,a) f(x), \text{ for } x\in X_a, f\in X^\vee.\end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in X_a$ nonzero. If we complete x to a basis of X we can consider the map $\lambda_x \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(X,k)$ such that $\lambda_x(x) = 1$ and λ_x vanishes on the other elements of the basis. By construction $\lambda_x \in \mathrm{HOM}(X,k)_{a^{-1}}$. Thus we can compute $i_X(x)(\lambda_x) = \alpha(a,a)^{-1}\lambda_x(x) = \alpha(a,a)^{-1} \neq 0$. This proves that $(i_X)_a$ is injective and hence i_X is injective. Similarly one gets that j_X is injective.

Let (E,Δ_E,e) be a good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$, where $e : E \to B^\vee$ denotes the canonical inclusion. Then the right-hand square in the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & E & \xrightarrow{e} & B^\vee & \xrightarrow{(m_B)^\vee} & (B\otimes B)^\vee\\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_C} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_E} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_{(B\otimes B)^\vee}}\\ C\otimes C & \xrightarrow{\gamma\otimes\gamma} & E\otimes E & \xrightarrow{e\otimes e} & B^\vee\otimes B^\vee & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(B,B)} & (B\otimes B)^\vee \end{array}$$

Consider a subcoalgebra $(C,\Delta_E,\gamma : C\to E)$. Hence the external diagram above commutes. This means that $(C,\Delta_E, e\circ\gamma)$ is a good G-graded subspace if $B^\vee$. This proves that a subcoalgebra of a good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$ is a good subspace of $B^\vee$.

Let $B^\bullet := \sum_{D\in\mathcal{S}_B} D$ where $\mathcal{S}_B$ is the set of good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$ as above. We know that D becomes a G-graded coalgebra. Hence we can apply [AI, Theorem 4.5] to get that each D is sum of finite-dimensional G-graded subcoalgebras. Since a subcoalgebra of a good subspace of $B^\vee$ is again a good subspace of $B^\vee$, we can write $B^\bullet := \sum_{D\in\mathcal{S}_B^f} D$ where $\mathcal{S}_B^f$ denotes the set of finite-dimensional good G-graded subspaces of $B^\vee$.

Let us describe the elements in $\mathcal{S}_B^f$. To this end, let (E,Δ_E,e) be a finite-dimensional good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$. Since E is finite-dimensional we have that $E^\vee = \mathrm{HOM}(E,k) = \mathrm{Hom}(E,k) = E^*$ (see [NV, Lemma 3.3.2]. Thus in this case $i_E, j_E : E \to E^{\vee\vee} = E^{**}$. Since

these maps are injective maps between spaces with the same dimension, we deduce that i_E, j_E are invertible.

By the last part of Theorem 4.9 applied to $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ and to the induced quotient object $\left(\overline{E^{op}} = \underline{E}^{op}, e^{op}\right)$ we get an algebra map $\overline{f^{op}} : \overline{LB} \to \overline{E^{op}}$ such that $f^{op} \circ p = e^{op}$. Denoting $\theta_B := p^{op} : B^\bullet \to B^\vee$ the canonical inclusion, we obtain $\theta_B \circ f = e$. Since $\overline{f^{op}}$ is an algebra map, we can consider the coalgebra map $\underline{f} : \underline{E} \to \underline{B^\bullet}$ such that $\overline{f^{op}} = \underline{f}^{op}$. Then, if we apply the adjunction,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{A})}\left(\overline{LB}, \overline{A}\right) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{B})}\left(\overline{B}, \overline{RA}\right) : h \mapsto \overline{R}h \circ \overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} \text{ where } \overline{A} = \underline{E}^{op}, \overline{LB} = \left(\underline{B^\bullet}\right)^{op}, p = \theta_B^{op},$$

recalling that

$$\Omega\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = R\Omega\left(\kappa\right)_{\overline{B}} \circ R\alpha_{LB} \circ \eta_B = Rp \circ \eta_B = \theta_B^\vee \circ \eta_B : B \to R\Omega\overline{LB} = \Omega\overline{RLB} = B^{\bullet\vee},$$

we get the morphism

$$\tau_B := R\left(f^{op}\right) \circ \Omega\overline{\eta}_{\overline{B}} = f^\vee \circ \theta_B^\vee \circ \eta_B = \left(\theta_B \circ f\right)^\vee \circ \eta_B = e^\vee \circ \eta_B = e^\vee \circ i_B : B \to E^\vee.$$

By the adjunction we know that this is a G-graded algebra map. Denote by I the kernel of the map τ_B. This is obviously a G-graded ideal of $\overline{B}$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to I \xrightarrow{i_I} B \xrightarrow{p_I} \frac{B}{I} \to 0.$$

Since it is a sequence in Vec_G -which is a semisimple category- applying the contravariant functor $(-)^\vee$, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee \xrightarrow{p_I^\vee} B^\vee \xrightarrow{i_I^\vee} I^\vee \to 0.$$

Since $I = \mathrm{Ker}\left(\tau_B\right)$, there is a G-graded algebra injection $\lambda_I : \frac{B}{I} \to E^\vee$ such that

$$\lambda_I \circ p_I = \tau_B = e^\vee \circ i_B.$$

Since E is finite-dimensional so is $E^\vee$ and hence B/I. This shows that I has finite codimension. Define the map

$$\chi_B := \left(E \xrightarrow{j_E} E^{\vee\vee} \xrightarrow{\left(\lambda_I\right)^\vee} \left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee\right).$$

Note that χ_B is surjective as j_E is invertible and $\left(\lambda_I\right)^\vee$ is surjective. We compute

$$\left(p_I\right)^\vee \circ \chi_B = \left(p_I\right)^\vee \circ \left(\lambda_I\right)^\vee \circ j_E = \left(i_B\right)^\vee \circ e^{\vee\vee} \circ j_E = \left(i_B\right)^\vee \circ j_{B^\vee} \circ e = e$$

so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\chi_B} & \left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee \\ & \searrow^{e} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_I^\vee = \left(p_I\right)^\vee} \\ & & B^\vee \end{array}$$

From this diagram and the surjectivity of χ_B we deduce that $E = \mathrm{Im}\left(e\right) = \mathrm{Im}\left(p_I^\vee\right)$. This proves that $B^\bullet \subseteq \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_B^f} \mathrm{Im}\left(p_I^\vee\right)$ where $\mathcal{I}_B^f$ denotes the set of finite-codimensional G-graded ideals of $\overline{B}$.

Conversely, let $I \in \mathcal{I}_B^f$ and let us check that $\mathrm{Im}\left(p_I^\vee\right) \in \mathcal{S}_B^f$. Note that $\varphi_2\left(B/I, B/I\right) : \left(B/I\right)^\vee \otimes \left(B/I\right)^\vee \to \left(B/I \otimes B/I\right)^\vee$ is an injective map between spaces with the same dimension as $\left(B/I\right)^\vee = \left(B/I\right)^*$ and $\left(B/I \otimes B/I\right)^\vee = \left(B/I \otimes B/I\right)^*$, B/I being finite-dimensional. As a consequence $\varphi_2\left(B/I, B/I\right)$ is invertible. Thus we can define a unique $\Delta_{\left(B/I\right)^\vee}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee & & \\ {\scriptstyle \Delta_{\left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee}}\downarrow & \searrow^{\left(m_{\frac{B}{I}}\right)^\vee} & \\ \left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee \otimes \left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2\left(\frac{B}{I}, \frac{B}{I}\right)} & \left(\frac{B}{I} \otimes \frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee \end{array}$$

By using the definition of $\Delta_{(B/I)^\vee}$ and the naturality of φ_2, one obtains that

$$\varphi_2(B,B)\circ\left((p_I)^\vee\otimes(p_I)^\vee\right)\circ\Delta_{(B/I)^\vee}=(m_B)^\vee\circ(p_I)^\vee$$

This implies that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee & \xrightarrow{(p_I)^\vee} & B^\vee & \xrightarrow{(m_B)^\vee} & (B\otimes B)^\vee \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_{(B/I)^\vee}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_{(B\otimes B)^\vee}} \\
\left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee\otimes\left(\frac{B}{I}\right)^\vee & \xrightarrow{(p_I)^\vee\otimes(p_I)^\vee} & B^\vee\otimes B^\vee & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(B,B)} & (B\otimes B)^\vee
\end{array}$$

This means that $\left((B/I)^\vee, p_I^\vee\right)$ is a good G-graded vector space of $B^\vee$ and hence $\mathrm{Im}\,(p_I^\vee)$ is a good G-graded subspace of $B^\vee$. Thus $\mathrm{Im}\,(p_I^\vee)\in\mathcal{S}_B^f$. Summing up we proved that $B^\bullet=\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f}\mathrm{Im}\,(p_I^\vee)$.

Finally, let us give another description of $\mathrm{Im}\,(p_I^\vee)$. Let $\xi_X: X^\vee\to X^*$ denote the canonical injection. Note that, by the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
B^\vee & \xrightarrow{i_I^\vee} & I^\vee \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_B} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_I} \\
B^* & \xrightarrow{i_I^*} & I^*
\end{array}$$

and the injectivity of ξ_I, we get the following alternative description

$$\mathrm{Im}\,(p_I^\vee)=\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^\vee)=\mathrm{Ker}\,(\xi_I\circ i_I^\vee)=\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^*\circ\xi_B)=\xi_B^{-1}(\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^*)).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
B^\bullet &= \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f}\mathrm{Im}\,(p_I^\vee)=\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f}\xi_B^{-1}(\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^*))=\xi_B^{-1}\left(\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f}\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^*)\right) \\
&= \xi_B^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f}\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^*)\right)=\left\{f\in B^\vee\mid \xi_B(f)\in\bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f}\mathrm{Ker}\,(i_I^*)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion,

$$B^\bullet=\left\{f\in B^\vee\mid \xi_B(f)\ \text{ vanishes on some } I\in\mathcal{I}_B^f\right\}.$$

Remark 4.16. Let us consider the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is a *regular category*. Here we adopt the definition of [AHS, page 253] which requires that $\mathcal{C}$ has all finite limits. As a consequence $\mathcal{C}$ has in particular binary products and equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms. Moreover $\mathcal{C}$ is (RegEpi, Mono)-structured so that any morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ can be factorized into a regular epimorphism followed by a monomorphism. If we further assume that the functor $\mho:\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})\to\mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint, the tensor products preserve binary products and equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms, φ_0 is invertible and the components of φ_2 are monomorphisms, then we are in the setting of Remark 4.12.

Remark 4.17. Finally, let us consider the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is a *locally presentable category*. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is complete [AR, Corollary 1.28]. By [AR, Proposition 1.61], $\mathcal{C}$ has (StrongEpi, Mono)-factorization so that any morphism in $\mathcal{C}$ can be factorized into a strong epimorphism followed by a monomorphism. If we further assume that the functor $\mho:\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})\to\mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint, the tensor products preserve binary products and equalizers of coreflexive pairs of morphisms, φ_0 is invertible and the components of φ_2 are monomorphisms, then we are in the setting of Remark 4.12.
Comparing our setting with [PS, Proposition 8] for the right dual monoid functor, note that the authors there require monoidal closedness and hence the functors $X\otimes(-)$ and $(-)\otimes X$ both have a right adjoint for each object X. As a consequence the tensor products preserve binary products (they are coproducts).

In Remark 2.8, we mentioned that it is not natural to ask that the tensor product preserves denumerable products. Now, one could wonder whether it is possible to apply the dual Special Adjoint Functor Theorem to deduce that $\mho : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint (so just with a requirement on the colimits and not on the limits). We conclude this appendix by briefly addressing this issue.
By [AR, Theorem 1.58], the category $\mathcal{C}$ is co-wellpowered. By Theorem 1.20 of loc. cit., it has a strong generator (in particular a set of generators). Moreover $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete by definition. By [AI, Proposition 2.3], we have that $\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})$ is co-wellpowered, it is cocomplete and $\mho$ preserves colimits.
So, if one is able to prove that $\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})$ has a set of generators (it is equivalent to require the existence of a generator as here $\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete), one can apply the Special Adjoint Functor Theorem, (or apply [Bo, Corollary 3.3.5]) to the functor $\mho^{op} : \mathsf{Alg}(\mathcal{C}^{op}) \to \mathcal{C}^{op}$ to deduce that this functor has a left adjoint and that, hence, $\mho : \mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}$ has a right adjoint.

Examples of locally presentable categories are given by admissible (symmetric) monoidal categories e.g., see [Po1, page 8]. In this case, by [AR, Theorem 1.20], $\mathsf{Coalg}(\mathcal{C})$ has a strong generator (in particular a set of generators).
Vec_G is an example of a locally presentable category by [Po1, Theorem 10] (we also observed in Example 4.14 that the forgetful functor $\mathsf{Alg}((\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}) \to (\mathsf{Vec}_G)^{op}$ has a left adjoint). More generally, we expect that one could carry out explicit computations as in Example 4.14 for the category of comodules over a coquasi-bialgebra.

References

[Ab] E. Abe, *Hopf algebras*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **74**. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1980.
[AI] A. Abdulwahid, M. Iovanov, *Generators for comonoids and universal constructions*, Arch. Math. **106** (2016), p. 21-33.
[AGW] J.W. Abuhlail, J. Gómez-Torrecillas, R. Wisbauer, *Dual coalgebras ofalgebras over commutative rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **153** (2000), p. 107-120.
[AR] J. Adamek, J. Rosicky, *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **189**. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
[AM] M. Aguiar, S. Mahajan, *Monoidal functors, species and Hopf algebras*. CRM Monograph Series, **29**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
[AAB] N. Andruskiewitsch, I. Angiono, D. Bagio, *Examples of pointed color Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. **13** (2014), 1350098 (28 pages).
[AEM] A. Ardizzoni, L. El Kaoutit, C. Menini, *Coendomorphism Bialgebroid and Chain Complexes*, J. Algebra Appl. **12** (2013), 1250181 (21 pages).
[AHS] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, E. Strecker, *Abstract and concrete categories: the joy of cats.*, ONLINE EDITION. Available at: `http://katmat.math.uni-bremen.de/acc`
[AMe] A. Ardizzoni, C. Menini, *Milnor-Moore Categories and Monadic Decomposition*, J. Algebra **448** (2016), p. 488-563.
[Ben] J. Bénabou, *Introduction to bicategories*. 1967 Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar p. 1-77. Springer, Berlin.
[Bo] F. Borceux, *Handbook of categorical algebra. 1. Basic category theory.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **50**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994
[CN] C.Y. Chen, W.D. Nichols,*A duality theorem for Hopf module algebras over Dedekind rings*, Comm. Algebra **18** (1990), p. 3209-322.
[Du1] E. J. Dubuc, *Adjoint triangles*. 1968 Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar, II p. 69-91. Springer, Berlin.
[Du2] E. J. Dubuc, *Kan extensions in enriched category theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **145**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
[GV] I. Goyvaerts, J. Vercruysse, *On the duality of generalized Lie and Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. **258** (2014), p. 154-190.
[Ho] M. Hovey, *Homotopy theory of comodules over a Hopf algebroid*, in Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K -theory (Evanston, IL, 2002), p. 261-304 Contemp. Math., **346**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
[Ke] G.M. Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.* **10** (2005), p. 1-136.
[McL] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **5**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
[Mi1] W. Michaelis, *Lie coalgebras*. Adv. Math. **38** (1980), 1-54.
[Mit] B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*. Pure and Applied Mathematics **17**. Academic Press, 1965.

[NV] C. Nastasescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded and filtered modules*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **758**. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1979.

[Pa1] B. Pareigis, *Categories and functors*. Translated from the German. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **39**. Academic Press, New York-London 1970.

[Pa2] B. Pareigis, *Lectures on quantum groups and noncommutative geometry*. Available at: `http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~pareigis/Vorlesungen/02SS/QGandNCG.pdf`

[Pa3] B. Pareigis, *Non-additive Ring and Module Theory I. General theory of monoids*. Publ. Math. Debrecen **24** (1977), p. 189-204.

[Po1] H.-E. Porst, *On Categories of Monoids, Comonoids, and Bimonoids*, Quaestiones Math. **31** (2008), p. 127-139.

[Po2] H.-E. Porst, *Dual adjunctions between algebras and coalgebras*, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C **33** (2008), p. 407-411.

[PS] H.-E. Porst, R. Street, *Generalizations of the Sweedler dual*, Appl. Categ. Structures **24** (2016), no. 5, p. 619-647.

[SR] N. Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories Tannakiennes*. (French) Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **265**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[Sw] M. E. Sweedler, *Hopf algebras*. Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York 1969.

[Ta] D. Tambara, *The coendomorphism bialgebra of an algebra* J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **37** (1990), p. 425-456.

University of Turin, Department of Mathematics "G. Peano", via Carlo Alberto 10, I-10123 Torino, Italy
E-mail address: `alessandro.ardizzoni@unito.it`
URL: `sites.google.com/site/aleardizzonihome`

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussel, Belgium
E-mail address: `igoyvaer@vub.ac.be`

University of Ferrara, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Via Machiavelli 35, Ferrara, I-44121, Italy
E-mail address: `men@unife.it`
URL: `web.unife.it/utenti/claudia.menini`